

Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas de São Carlos

ISSN - 0103-2577

**Equivalent conditions for n -equivalences module
a class C of groups**

C. BLASI

D.L. GONÇALVES

Nº 27

NOTAS DO ICMSC
Série Matemática

São Carlos
Abr./1995

| | |
|-------------|--------|
| SYSNO | 877919 |
| DATA | / / |
| ICMC - SBAB | |

Equivalent Conditions for n -equivalences Module a Class \mathcal{C} of Groups

C. Biasi and D.L. Gonçalves

In [W2], J.H.C. Whitehead gives the definition of a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to be an n -equivalence. Then he shows that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a n -equivalence if and only if $\pi_i(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_i(Y)$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq n$. Motivated by above we define that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an n -equivalence mod a class \mathcal{C} of groups if $\pi_i(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_i(Y)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism for $i \leq n$ whenever this makes sense. I remark that one can show that if X, Y are H -spaces and f is an H -map or K is a suspension then $[K, X] \rightarrow [K, Y]$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism if $\dim(K) \leq n$ where K is a complex and \mathcal{C} is a class of Nilpotent groups. The precise formulation and the proof of this result is given in the appendix. This result also has motivated us to define $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to be an n -equivalence mod a class \mathcal{C} as above.

In part I and II we will show that the condition $\pi_i(X) \rightarrow \pi_i(Y)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism for $i \leq n$ can be given almost in terms of homological conditions at least if we assume that X, Y satisfy certain hypotheses. In fact our result is given in terms of a generalized class of groups. More precisely we prove: Theorem 2.1. Let X, Y be \mathcal{C} -nilpotent spaces. If \mathcal{C} is an acyclic class and either a) X, Y are of finite type and $H_*(\pi, A) \in \mathcal{C}$, $A > 0$ for $\pi, A \in \mathcal{C}$ or b) \mathcal{C} is complete, then the following two conditions below are equivalent

- a) $\pi_i(X) \rightarrow \pi_i(Y)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism for $i \leq n$,
- b) $H_i(X) \rightarrow H_i(Y)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism for $i \leq n$ and $\psi_{n+1} : H_{n+1}(X) \oplus \pi_{n+1}(Y) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(Y)$ is a \mathcal{C} -epimorphism. Here $\psi_{n+1} = f_{n+1} + h_{n+1}$ where h_{n+1} is the Hurewicz map.

We would like to point out that a) implies b) if we assume that $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is surjective and \mathcal{C} is acyclic and complete without further hypotheses on the spaces X and Y . This is theorem 1.1. Otherwise the result is not true according with examples at the end of part I. Finally we would like to point out that under the hypotheses of theorem 2.1, in the appendix we show that the projection $\pi_{n+1}(Y, X) \rightarrow \pi'_{n+1}(Y, X)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism if we assume that $\pi_1(X) \in \mathcal{C}$. We believe this fact has its own interest, although it is not necessary in the proof of theorem 2.1. For the definition and details about \mathcal{C} -nilpotent spaces and generalized class of groups, see [G].

1 Part I - One side of the relative Hurewicz Theorem mod \mathcal{C}

Given a pair (Y, X) of connected spaces, it is well known that if $\pi_i(Y, X) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ then $H_i(Y, X) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $h'_{n+1} : \pi'_{n+1}(Y, X) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(Y, X)$ is an isomorphism. One would like to know if this result is true in terms of class. By a class \mathcal{C} of groups we mean an abelian class of groups or a generalized class of groups. See [S₁] resp [G] for the definitions and details about class of groups. The answer is yes but we must have some hypothesis in the class of groups \mathcal{C} and the spaces in question. Namely:

Theorem 1.1 *Let \mathcal{C} be a class of group which is acyclic and complete then if $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is surjective and $\pi_i(Y, X) \in \mathcal{C}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ then $H_i(Y, X) \in \mathcal{C}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $h'_{n+1} : \pi'_{n+1}(Y, X) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(Y, X)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism.*

In order to proof this result we will need three lemmas, where we assume the hypotheses of the theorem.

Lemma 1.2 *Let π be a group which acts on A . If $A \in \mathcal{C}$ then $H_*(\pi, A) \in \mathcal{C}$, $* \geq 0$.*

Proof: This is similar to Proposition 1.4 of [G].

Lemma 1.3 *Let π acts on the abelian groups A and B . If $\psi : A \rightarrow B$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism of π -modules, then $H_*(\pi, A) \rightarrow H_*(\pi, B)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism $* \geq 0$.*

Proof: Let us consider the two short exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \psi \rightarrow A \rightarrow \psi(A) \rightarrow 0 \quad (I)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \psi(A) \rightarrow B \rightarrow \frac{B}{\psi(A)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (II)$$

By the long exact sequences in homology associated with the sequence of coefficients (I) and (II) together with Lemma 1.2 we get

$$H_*(\pi, A) \approx H_*(\pi, \psi(A)) \quad \text{and}$$

$$H_*(\pi, \psi(A)) \approx H_*(\pi, \frac{B}{\psi(A)}). \quad \text{Then the result follows.} \quad \square$$

Lemma 1.4 *Let Y be any connected space and $A \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $H_*(Y, A) \in \mathcal{C}$, $* \geq 0$.*

Proof: The proof can be done using the Postnikov system of Y plus the previous Lemma 1.2. But we can also prove using that $H_*(Y, A) \approx H_*(\pi_Y, A)$, which is Theorem 1.1 of [K,T] together with the Lemma 1.2. Then the result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Let us assume that $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is an isomorphism. Then we consider the fibration $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}) \rightarrow (Y, X) \rightarrow K(\pi, 1)$, where $\pi = \pi_1(X)$, and \tilde{Y} respectively \tilde{X} are the universal cover of Y respectively X . Since $\pi_i(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}) \in \mathcal{C}$, $2 \leq i \leq n$, by the Relative Hurewicz Theorem module a Serre's class, see [S₁], we have $H_i(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}) \in \mathcal{C}$, $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $h_{n+1} : \pi_{n+1}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X})$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism. By the Serre's spectral sequence associated with the above fibration we have:

$$H_p(\pi, H_q(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X})) \implies H_{p+q}(Y, X)$$

Since $H_p(\pi, H_q(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X})) \in \mathcal{C}$ for $q \leq n$ by Lemma 1.2, we have that $H_i(Y, X) \in \mathcal{C}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $H_0(\pi, H_{n+1}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X})) \longrightarrow H_{n+1}(Y, X)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism.

By the argument given in Proposition 3.6 pp.60 of [G] we can replace $H_{n+1}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X})$ by $\pi_{n+1}(Y, X)$ and follows that $h'_{n+1} : \pi'_{n+1}(Y, X) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(Y, X)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism.

If $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is not injective we consider the next stage of the Moore-Postnikov decomposition of the map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, see [S₂]. Then we have the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \bar{Y} \\
 & \nearrow \bar{f} & \downarrow p \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
 \end{array}$$

The fibre of p is $K(\pi_2(Y, X); 1)$. Since the class \mathcal{C} is acyclic $\tilde{H}_*(K(\pi_2(Y, X), 1); \mathbb{Z}) \in \mathcal{C}$, $* > 0$ and by Lemma 1.4 $H_p(Y, H_q(K(\pi_2(Y, X), 1); \mathbb{Z})) \in \mathcal{C}$ for $q > 0$. Then it follows that $p_* : H_*(\bar{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism for $* \geq 0$. Since $p_\# : \pi_r(\bar{Y}) \rightarrow \pi_r(Y)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism for all r , it suffices to show the result for \bar{f} . By the first part the result follows. \square

Remark: The hypotheses of \mathcal{C} be complete and $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ be surjective are necessary. For let us consider two examples.

Example 1 Suppose you assume that $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is only \mathcal{C} -surjective where \mathcal{C} is the class of groups of odd torsion. Let $X = \tilde{Y}$ and Y a space s.t.

$$\pi_i(Y) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_3 & i = 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & i = n \\ O & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and the action of \mathbb{Z}_3 on $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ is given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Such space Y exist by [S₂] exercise A-3 p.460. By the spectral sequence associated with the universal cover of Y we have

$$H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \approx H_0(\pi, H_n(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z})) = H_0(\mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2) \approx 0.$$

By the long exact sequence of the pair (Y, \tilde{Y}) we have

$$H_{n+1}(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(Y, \tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(Y, \mathbb{Z})$$

or

$$H_{n+1}(Y, \tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

So $\pi_{n+1}(Y, \tilde{Y}) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $H_{n+1}(Y, \tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \notin \mathcal{C}$. So h'_{n+1} can't be a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism.

Example 2 Let $X = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i^2$, $Y = S^2 \times X$ then $\pi_i(Y, X) \in \mathcal{C}$ where \mathcal{C} is the class of the finitely generated groups. But $H_2(Y, X) \notin \mathcal{C}$. This type of example has been point out in [S₁].

2 Part II - The n-th homotopy type of a space and the Hurewicz map

The purpose of this section is to show:

Theorem 2.1 *Let \mathcal{C} be an acyclic class, (Y, X) a pair where X and Y are connected \mathcal{C} -nilpotent spaces. If a) X, Y are of finite type and $H_*(\pi, A) \in \mathcal{C}$, $* > 0$ for $\pi, A \in \mathcal{C}$ or b) \mathcal{C} is complete, then the two conditions below are equivalent for $n \geq 1$.*

a_n) $\pi_i(X) \rightarrow \pi_i(Y)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism for $i \leq n$,

b_n) $H_i(X) \rightarrow H_i(Y)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism for $i \leq n$ and $(i_{n+1})_* + h_{n+1} : H_{n+1}(X) \oplus \pi_{n+1}(Y) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(Y)$ is \mathcal{C} -surjective.

Remark 1: If we do not assume Y and X \mathcal{C} -nilpotent spaces it is still true that a_n) implies b_n) under the conditions that the hypotheses b) happens and $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is surjective. The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 2.1 where we use Theorem 1.1 of Part 1.

Remark 2: One of the main ingredients of the proof of Theorem 2.1 is the Relative Hurewicz Theorem. In fact under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 we show, in the appendix, that in fact the natural projection $\pi_{n+1}(Y, X) \rightarrow \pi'_{n+1}(Y, X)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism if we assume that $\pi_1(X) \in \mathcal{C}$. Although, we do not use this fact, in the given proof of Theorem 2.1, this fact may have its own interest.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. $(a_n) \Rightarrow b_n$. Let us consider the diagram where the rows are long exact sequence of the pair (Y, X) in homology and homotopy:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\dots & \longrightarrow & \pi_{n+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{(i_{n+1})\#} & \pi_{n+1}(Y) & \xrightarrow{(j_{n+1})\#} & \pi_{n+1}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} & \pi_n(X) & \xrightarrow{(i_n)\#} & \pi_n(Y) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
& & \downarrow h_{n+1} & & \downarrow h_{n+1} & & \downarrow h_{n+1} & & \downarrow h_n & & \downarrow h_n & & \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{(i_{n+1})_*} & H_{n+1}(Y) & \xrightarrow{(j_{n+1})_*} & H_{n+1}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} & H_n(X) & \xrightarrow{(i_n)_*} & H_n(Y) & \longrightarrow & \dots
\end{array}$$

From the hypothesis a_n) we have $\pi_i(Y, X) \in \mathcal{C}$, $i < n + 1$. By the Relative Hurewicz Theorem, see [G] p.55, we have $H_i(Y, X) \in \mathcal{C}$, $i < n + 1$ and $h'_{n+1} : \pi'_{n+1}(Y, X) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(Y, X)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism. Since $\pi_{n+1}(Y, X) \rightarrow \pi'_{n+1}(Y, X)$ is surjective we also have that $h_{n+1} : \pi_{n+1}(Y, X) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(Y, X)$ is \mathcal{C} -surjective. Since $im(h_{n+1}) \hookrightarrow H_{n+1}(Y, X)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism we that $\partial_{n+1}(im h_{n+1})$ is \mathcal{C} -isomorphism to $\partial_{n+1}(H_{n+1}(Y, X))$. But $\partial_{n+1}(im h_{n+1}) = h_n(\partial_{n+1}(\pi_{n+1}(Y, X)))$. Since $\partial_{n+1}(\pi_{n+1}(Y, X)) \in \mathcal{C}$ by the hypothesis a_n), follows that $(i_n)_*$ is \mathcal{C} -injective. Therefore $H_i(X) \rightarrow H_i(Y)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

It remains to show that $(i_{n+1})_* + h_{n+1} : H_{n+1}(X) \oplus \pi_{n+1}(Y) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(Y)$ is \mathcal{C} -surjective. We have that $(j_{n+1})\# : \pi_{n+1}(Y) \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(Y, X)$ is \mathcal{C} -surjective because $\frac{\pi_{n+1}(Y, X)}{im(j_{n+1})\#} \approx im(\partial_{n+1}) = \ker(i_n)\# \in \mathcal{C}$ and $h_{n+1} \circ (j_{n+1})\# = (j_{n+1})_* \circ h_{n+1}$. So $h_{n+1} \circ (j_{n+1})\#$ and $(j_{n+1})_* \circ h_{n+1}$ are \mathcal{C} -surjective as well the map $(j_{n+1})_* \circ h_{n+1} : \pi_{n+1}(Y) \rightarrow im(j_{n+1})_*$. But $im(j_{n+1})_* \approx \frac{H_{n+1}(Y)}{\ker(j_{n+1})_*} \approx \frac{H_{n+1}(Y)}{im(i_{n+1})_*}$ and the result follows.

Now let's prove that $b_n) \Rightarrow a_n$). From b_n) we have that $H_i(Y, X) \in \mathcal{C}$, $i < n + 1$. By the Relative Hurewicz Theorem, see [G] p.55 we have $\pi_i(Y, X) \in \mathcal{C}$, $i < n + 1$. It remains to show that $\pi_n(X) \rightarrow \pi_n(Y)$ is \mathcal{C} -injective.

For this purpose, let us call $K = \ker(i_n)\# : \pi_n(X) \rightarrow \pi_n(Y)$ and consider the exact sequence of π -modules where $\pi = \pi_1(X)$

$$\pi_{n+1}(Y) \xrightarrow{(j_{n+1})\#} \pi_{n+1}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{p} K \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now let us consider the sequence obtained from the one above module by the action of π :

$$\pi'_{n+1}(Y) \xrightarrow{(j'_{n+1})\#} \pi'_{n+1}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{p'} K' \longrightarrow 0$$

where M' means the quotient of M by the π -action, and the maps are the induced ones. This sequence is no longer exact but certainly p' is surjective and $p' \circ j'$ is the null homomorphism.

Also we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\dots \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{(i_{n+1})\#} & \pi_{n+1}(Y) & \xrightarrow{(j_{n+1})\#} & \pi_{n+1}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} & \pi_n(X) \xrightarrow{(i_n)\#} \pi_n(Y) \rightarrow \dots \\
& & \downarrow h_{n+1} & \searrow & \downarrow h_{n+1} & & \downarrow h_n \\
& & \downarrow h_{n+1} & \pi'_{n+1}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \pi'_{n+1}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} K' \rightarrow 0 \\
& & & \swarrow & \downarrow h_{n+1} & \swarrow & \\
\dots \rightarrow H_{n+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{(i_{n+1})\#} & H_{n+1}(Y) & \xrightarrow{(j_{n+1})\#} & H_{n+1}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} & H_n(X) \xrightarrow{(i_n)\#} H_n(Y) \rightarrow \dots
\end{array}$$

where $\pi'_{n+1}(Y, X) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(Y, X)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism. We are going to show that $K' \in \mathcal{C}$.

For this it suffices to show that $\frac{\pi'_{n+1}(Y, X)}{im(j_{n+1})\#} \in \mathcal{C}$ or $\frac{H_{n+1}(Y, X)}{im((j_{n+1})\# \circ h'_{n+1})} \in \mathcal{C}$. But

$$im((j_{n+1})\# \circ h'_{n+1}) = im((j_{n+1})\# \circ h'_{n+1} + (j_{n+1})\# \circ (i_{n+1})\#) = im((j_{n+1})\# \circ (h'_{n+1} + (i_{n+1})\#))$$

which is \mathcal{C} -isomorphic to $im(j_{n+1})\#$ as result of the hypothesis that $h'_{n+1} + (i_{n+1})\#$ is \mathcal{C} -surjective. So

$$\frac{H_{n+1}(Y, X)}{im((j_{n+1})\# \circ h'_{n+1})} \cong \frac{H_{n+1}(Y, X)}{im(j_{n+1})\#} \cong \ker(H_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(Y)) \in \mathcal{C} .$$

Therefore $K' \in \mathcal{C}$. By proposition 3.3 of [G] we have that $K \in \mathcal{C}$ and the result follows. \square

Appendix

In general, $[K, X]$ does not have a group structure. So does not make sense to say that a map $\varphi : [K, X] \rightarrow [K, Y]$ is \mathcal{C} -bijective. Nevertheless if X is a connected homotopy associative H -space, or K is a suspension of a connected space, by [W₁] chapter X, the set $[K, X]$ has a group structure. Further $[K, Y]$, in both cases, is a nilpotent group if

K is a complex of finite dimension. Now we will prove a proposition which somehow justifies the definition of a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a n -equivalence mod \mathcal{C} . For our purpose we can assume that \mathcal{C} is a class of groups as describes in $[H, R]$ or just replace \mathcal{C} by the intersection of \mathcal{C} with the category of all nilpotent groups. Let us assume that $\pi_1(X)$ and $\pi_1(Y)$ are \mathcal{C} -nilpotent groups. Of course in one of the cases where X, Y are H -spaces this hypothesis is automatically satisfied.

Proposition 1: *Let X, Y be connected homotopy associative H -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an H -map or K be a suspension of a connected space. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an n -equivalence mod \mathcal{C} then for any complex K where $\dim K \leq n$ the induced map $\varphi_f : [K, X] \rightarrow [K, Y]$ is a \mathcal{C} -bijection if either*

- a) \mathcal{C} is complete or
- b) K is finite and \mathcal{C} is proper.

Proof: Let n be equal to one. Then K has the homotopy type of a bouquet of circles and $[K, X] = \bigoplus \pi_1(X)$ where the sum runs over a set J . Since $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism the result follows either if a) or b) holds. Let us assume the result is true for maps which are $(n - 1)$ -equivalences mod \mathcal{C} . Take K where $\dim K = n$. We have $\bigvee_J S^{n-1} \rightarrow K^{n-1} \rightarrow K$ where the first map comes from the construction of the CW complex K from the $n - 1$ -skeleton of K . The Puppe sequence associated with the above sequence and the induced homomorphisms induced by f , provide the commutative diagram below, where the rows are exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 [\sum K^{n-1}, X] & \longrightarrow & [\bigvee S^n, X] & \longrightarrow & [K, X] & \longrightarrow & [K^{n-1}, X] & \longrightarrow & [\bigvee S^{n-1}, X] \\
 \downarrow \varphi_0 & & \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_2 & & \downarrow \varphi_3 & & \downarrow \varphi_4 \\
 [\sum K^{n-1}, Y] & \longrightarrow & [\bigvee S^n, Y] & \longrightarrow & [K, Y] & \longrightarrow & [K^{n-1}, Y] & \longrightarrow & [\bigvee S^{n-1}, Y]
 \end{array}$$

The maps φ_1 and φ_4 are \mathcal{C} -isomorphisms by hypothesis. The map φ_0 is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism by induction hypothesis applied to the map $\Omega f : \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega Y$ and the complex K^{n-1} of

dimension $n - 1$ since $[\sum K^{n-1}, X] \approx [K^{n-1}, \Omega X]$ and $[\sum K^{n-1}, Y] \approx [K^{n-1}, \Omega Y]$. Finally φ_3 is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism by induction hypothesis. Now by the “ \mathcal{C} -five lemma” Theorem 2.2 of [H, R] applied to the class $\mathcal{C} \cap \text{Nil}$ where Nil is the category of the Nilpotent groups, the result follows. \square

Of course if $[K, X] \xrightarrow{f_*} [K, Y]$ is \mathcal{C} -bijection at least for K a finite complex where $\dim K \leq n$ and K is a suspension then certainly $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an n -equivalence mod \mathcal{C} , where we assume that $\pi_1(X), \pi_1(Y)$ are \mathcal{C} -nilpotent.

Now let us show that if $\pi_1(X) \in \mathcal{C}$ then in fact the Hurewicz homomorphism induces an isomorphism from $\pi_{n+1}(Y, X)$ into $H_{n+1}(Y, X)$ instead of $\pi'_{n+1}(Y, X)$.

This fact is not necessary for the proof of Theorem 2.2, but it is a natural generalization of the simply connected case and might be useful.

Proposition 2: *Let \mathcal{C} be an acyclic class, (Y, X) a pair where X and Y are connected \mathcal{C} -nilpotent spaces and $\pi_1(X) \in \mathcal{C}$. If a) X, Y are of finite type and $H_*(\pi, A) \in \mathcal{C} > 0$ for $\pi, A \in \mathcal{C}$ or b) \mathcal{C} is complete, then $\pi_{n+1}(Y, X) \rightarrow \pi'_{n+1}(Y, X)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism.*

The proof follows from the lemmas:

Lemma 3: *Let $\pi \in \mathcal{C}$ which acts \mathcal{C} -nilpotently on A where \mathcal{C} is an acyclic class. If a) A is a finitely generated abelian group or b) \mathcal{C} is complete, then $A \rightarrow A/\Gamma_\pi^2(A)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism.*

Proof: Let k be the first integer s.t. $\Gamma_\pi^k(A) \notin \mathcal{C}$ and $\Gamma_\pi^{k+1}(A) \in \mathcal{C}$. If $A \in \mathcal{C}$ there is nothing to prove. So we can assume that $k \geq 1$. In fact, to show the result, it suffices to show that $k = 1$. Consider the sequence for $k \geq 2$:

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_\pi^k(A) \rightarrow \Gamma_\pi^{k-1}(A) \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma_\pi^{k-1}(A)}{\Gamma_\pi^k(A)} \rightarrow 0 .$$

From the above sequence of coefficients we get the long exact sequence

$$H_1 \left(\pi, \frac{\Gamma_\pi^{k-1}(A)}{\Gamma_\pi^k(A)} \right) \rightarrow H_0(\pi, \Gamma_\pi^k(A)) \rightarrow H_0(\pi, \Gamma_\pi^{k-1}(A)) \rightarrow H_0 \left(\pi, \frac{\Gamma_\pi^{k-1}(A)}{\Gamma_\pi^k(A)} \right) \rightarrow 0$$

But $H_0(\pi, \Gamma_\pi^{k-1}(A)) \rightarrow H_0\left(\pi, \frac{\Gamma_\pi^{k-1}(A)}{\Gamma_\pi^k(A)}\right)$ is an isomorphism. So the sequence reduces to

$$H_1\left(\pi, \frac{\Gamma_\pi^{k-1}(A)}{\Gamma_\pi^k(A)}\right) \rightarrow H_0(\pi, \Gamma_\pi^k(A)) \rightarrow 0$$

where $H_0(\pi, \Gamma_\pi^k(A)) \approx \frac{\Gamma_\pi^k(A)}{\Gamma_\pi^{k+1}(A)}$.

Now we will show that $H_1(\pi, C) \in \mathcal{C}$ where $C = \frac{\Gamma_\pi^{k-1}(A)}{\Gamma_\pi^k(A)}$. Certainly π acts trivially on C . Suppose that C is a finitely generated abelian group. Then we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ where F_1, F_2 are finitely generated free abelian groups. By the hypothesis that \mathcal{C} is acyclic follows that $H_*(\pi, F_i) \in \mathcal{C}$. By the long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow H_i(\pi, F_1) \rightarrow H_i(\pi, F_2) \rightarrow H_i(\pi, C) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(\pi, F_1)$$

and the fact that $H_0(\pi, F_1) \rightarrow H_0(\pi, F_2)$ is injective follows that $H_*(\pi, C) \in \mathcal{C} > 0$.

Now let C be any abelian group and \mathcal{C} complete. Then C is the direct limit of the finitely generated subgroups of C . Since direct limit commutes with homology and \mathcal{C} is closed under direct limit we have that $H_*(\pi, C) \in \mathcal{C}$ in particular $H_1(\pi, C) \in \mathcal{C}$. Therefore $H_0(\pi, T_\pi^k(A)) \approx \frac{T_\pi^k(A)}{T_\pi^{k+1}(A)} \in \mathcal{C}$. But $T_\pi^{k+1}(A) \in \mathcal{C}$ therefore $T_\pi^k(A) \in \mathcal{C}$ which is a contradiction. So k must be equal to 1 and the result follows. \square

Now we will prove a lemma which takes care of the case where the group A is not abelian which corresponds the case where $A = \pi_2(Y, X)$.

So we will assume that there is a central extension $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$ where $H \subset \pi$.

Lemma 4: *Under the same hypotheses as lemma 3 we have that $G \rightarrow G/T_\pi^2(G)$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism.*

Proof: Let us consider the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$. By the five term long exact sequence, see [S₃], we have

$$H_2(G) \rightarrow H_2(H) \rightarrow \frac{A}{[A, G]} \rightarrow G_{ab} \rightarrow H_{ab} \rightarrow 1$$

But $H_2(H) \in \mathcal{C}$, because \mathcal{C} is acyclic, and $[A, G] = 1$ because the extension is central. So

$A \rightarrow G_{ab}$ is a \mathcal{C} -isomorphism. By lemma 3 $A \rightarrow \frac{A}{T_{\pi}^2(A)}$ as well $G_{ab} \rightarrow \frac{G_{ab}}{T_{\pi}^2(G_{ab})} \approx \frac{G}{T_{\pi}^2(G)}$ are \mathcal{C} -isomorphisms and the result follows.

Proof of Proposition 2: This follows directly from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4. Recall that if a) holds than $\pi_n(Y, X)$ is finitely generated by “The Relative Hurewicz Theorem”, p.55 of [G]. □

References

- [G] D.L. Gonçalves, *Generalized classes of groups, \mathcal{C} -nilpotent spaces and “the Hurewicz Theorem”*, Math. Scand., 53 (1983), 39-61.
- [H,R] P. Hilton; J. Roitberg, *Generalized \mathcal{C} -theory and torsion phenomena in nilpotent spaces*, Houston J. Math. 2 (1976), 529-559.
- [K,T] D.M. Kan; W.P. Thurston, *Every connected space has the homology of a $K(\pi, 1)$* , Topology, 15 (1976), 253-258.
- [S₁] J.P. Serre, *Groups d’homotopic et classes de groupes abélians*, Ann. of Math. 58 (1953), 258-294.
- [S₂] E. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, Mc Graw-Hill Book Co., New York, Toronto, 1966.
- [S₃] J. Stallings, *Homology and central series of groups*, J. Algebra, 2 (1965), 170–181.
- [W₁] G. Whitehead, *Elements of Homotopy Theory*, Berlin-Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1978.
- [W₂] J.H.C. Whitehead, *Combinatorial homotopy I*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 55 (1949), 213-245.

BIASI: DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, ICMSC-USP, CAIXA POSTAL 668, CEP 13560-970, SÃO CARLOS, S.P., BRASIL.

GONÇALVES: DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, IME-USP, CAIXA POSTAL 66.281 - AG. CIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, CEP 05389-970, SÃO PAULO, S.P., BRASIL.
E-MAIL: DLGONCAL@IME.USP.BR

NOTAS DO ICMSC

SÉRIE MATEMÁTICA

- 026/95 CARVALHO, A. N. and HINES, G., *Lower semicontinuity of unstable manifolds for gradient systems*
- 025/95 GARCIA, R.A.; MOCHIDA, D.; FUSTER, C.R. and RUAS, M. A. S., *Inflection points and topology of surfaces in 4-space*
- 024/95 CASSAGO JR., H.; GODOY, S. M.; and TÁBOAS, P.Z., *Periodic solutions of planar differential equations with two delays*
- 023/94 ZANI, S. L., *Two-weight norm inequalities for maximal functions on homogeneous spaces and boundary estimates*
- 022/94 LABOURIAU, I. S. and RUAS, M. A. S., *Singularities of equations of Hodgkin-Huxley type*
- 021/94 BRUCE, J.W. and MARAR, W.L., *Images and varieties*
- 020/94 LADEIRA, L.A.C., *Differentiability with respect to delays for a neutral differential-difference equation*
- 019/94 CARVALHO, A.N. and CUMINATO, J.A., *Reaction-diffusion problems in cell tissues*
- 018/94 CARVALHO, A.N. and RODRIGUEZ-BERNAL, A., *Global attractors for parabolic problems with nonlinear boundary conditions in fractional power spaces*
- 017/94 RODRIGUEZ-BERNAL, A., *Localized spatial homogenization and large diffusion*
- 016/94 GIONGO, M.A.P.A.P. and TÁBOAS, P.Z., *Effect of a vaccination on an epidemic model*
- 015/94 OLIVA, W.M., *Realidade Matemática: a controvérsia dos computadores, extraindo ordem do caos, medindo simetria e outros ensaios*