UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO
Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação
ISSN 0103-2577

Bilipschitz Determinacy of Quasihomogeneous Germs

Alexandre Cesar Gurgel Fernandes
Maria Aparecida Soares Ruas

Nº 139

NOTAS

Série Matemática

São Carlos – SP
Abr./2002

Bilipschitz determinacy of quasihomogeneous germs

Alexandre Cesar Gurgel Fernandes*

Centro de Ciências
Universidade Federal do Ceará
Av. Humberto Monte, s/n Campus do Pici - Bloco 914
Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil
e
Depto. de Matemática
ICMC/USP- São Carlos
Caixa Postal 668
13560-970 São Carlos SP, Brazil
E-mail: alex@icmc.sc.usp.br

and

Maria Aparecida Soares Ruas†

Depto. de Matemática
ICMC/USP- São Carlos
Caixa Postal 668
13560-970 São Carlos SP, Brazil
E-mail: maasruas@icmc.sc.usp.br

Abstract

We obtain estimates for the degree of bilipschitz determinacy of quasihomogeneous function-germs.

Resumo

Neste trabalho, obtemos estimativas para o grau de determinação bilispchitz de germes de funções quase-homogêneas.

* Research partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, (CNPq), Brazil, grant # 140499/00-8.

† Research partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, (CNPq), Brazil, grant # 300066/88-0, and by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), Brazil, grant # 97/10735-3.

1. INTRODUCTION

A basic problem in Singularity Theory is the local classification of mappings module diffeomorphisms. In 1965, H. Whitney justified the rigidity of the classification problem by C^1-diffeomorphism giving the following example:

$$F_t(x,y) = xy(x-y)(x-ty);\ 0 < t < 1 \tag{1}$$

which presents the following phenomenon: for any $t \neq s$ in $I = (0,1)$ it is not possible to construct a C^1-diffeomorphism $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^2, 0)$ such that $F_t = F_s \circ \phi$. This motivated the classification of mappings by "isomorphisms" weaker than diffeomorphisms.

There is an extensive literature related to C^r-equivalence $(1 \leqslant r < \infty)$ of map-germs, among them [5], [4] and [1] which are more closely related to this work. However, only few recent works deal with the problem of bilipschitz classification of map-germs. This work is inspired in a recent paper by J.-P. Henry and A. Parusinski [2], where they show that the bilipschitz equivalence of analytic function-germs admits continuous moduli. We obtain estimates for the degree of bilipschitz determinacy of quasihomogeneous function-germs. Examples are given to show that the estimates are sharp.

2. BILIPSCHITZ EQUIVALENCE

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be a positive number. A mapping $\phi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ is called *λ-Lipschitz*, or simply *Lipschitz* if it satisfies:

$$\|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\| \leqslant \lambda \|x - y\| \ \forall\ x, y \in U.$$

When $n = p$ and ϕ has a Lipschitz inverse, we say that ϕ is *bilipschitz.*

Two germs $f, g : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ are called *bilipschitz equivalent* if there exists a bilipschitz map-germ $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ such that $f = g \circ \phi$.

EXAMPLE 2.2.1. Let $f, g : (\mathbb{R}, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ be given by $f(x) = x, g(x) = x^3$. It is easy to show that f and g are not bilipschitz equivalent. On the other hand, there is a homeomorphism $\phi : (\mathbb{R}, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ such that $f = \phi \circ g$.

Let $f : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ be the germ of an analytic function,

$$f(x) = f_m(x) + f_{m+1}(x) + \cdots ,$$

with f_i a homogeneous form of degree i, and $f_m \neq 0$. We denote by $m_f := m$, the *multiplicity* of f. We say that f has *non-degenerate tangent cone* if $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the only point in $\mathbb{R}^n$ in which

$$\frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} = \cdots = \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} = 0.$$

PROPOSITION 2.2.2. *Let $f : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ be the germ of an analytic function. Then*

$$m_f = ord_r[sup|f_{|B(0,r)}|],$$

where $B(0,r)$ denote the ball centered at the origin with radius r.

Proof. Let $\alpha = ord_r[sup|f_{|B(0,r)}|]$. Write

$$f(x) = f_m(x) + f_{m+1}(x) + \cdots$$

with f_i a homogeneous form of degree i, and $f_m \neq 0$. Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be such that $f_m(x) \neq 0$. Then, given $r > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(rx)| &= r^m |f_m(x) + r f_{m+1}(x) + \cdots| \\ &\geqslant K r^m \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $K > 0$, hence $m \geqslant \alpha$.

On the other hand, from the Curve Selection Lemma, there exists an analytic arc $\gamma : [0, \epsilon) \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $\gamma(0) = 0$, such that

$$\alpha = ord_r |f(\gamma(r)|$$

and $|\gamma(r)| \leqslant r$ for each $r > 0$. Since $\gamma(0) = 0$, we can write $\gamma(r) = r\tilde{\gamma}(r)$ with $\lim_{r \to 0} \tilde{\gamma}(r) < \infty$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\gamma(r))| &= r^m |f_m(\tilde{\gamma}(r)) + r f_{m+1}(\tilde{\gamma}(r)) + \cdots| \\ &\leqslant L r^m \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $L > 0$. Hence, $m \leqslant \alpha$. ∎

COROLLARY 2.2.3. *Let $f, g : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ be germs of analytic functions. If f and g are bilipschitz equivalent, then $m_f = m_g$.*

The corollary above in the complex case was proved by J.-J. Risler and D. Trotman in [3]. It is obvious that the converse statement is false, but we can prove the following result

PROPOSITION 2.2.4. *Let $F_t : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ be a smooth family of smooth function-germs. If m_{F_t} is constant and F_t has non-degenerate tangent cone for each t, then for each $t \neq s$, F_t and F_s are bilipschitz equivalent.*

The result above will follow as consequence of Theorem 3.3.3.

COROLLARY 2.2.5. *The family (1) satisfies: F_t and F_s are bilipschitz equivalent $\forall\ t, s \in (0,1)$.*

It is valuable to observe that the Proposition 2.2.4 does not guarantee the non-rigidity of the bilipschitz classification problem for analytic functions. In fact, J.-P. Henry and A. Parusinski ([2]) presented the family $F_t : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ given by $F_t(x, y) = x^3 - 3t^2xy^2 + y^6$ which satisfies: for any $t \neq s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ there is no bilipschitz map-germ $\phi : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ such that $F_t = F_s \circ \phi$. The proof is based on the analysis of the expansion of the germs of the family along each arc of their polar curves. The argument in [2] also holds in the real case, that is, the following holds:

PROPOSITION 2.2.6. *The family* $F_t : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^2, 0)$ *given by* $F_t(x, y) = x^3 - 3t^2xy^2 + y^6$ *satisfies: for any* $t \neq s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ *there is no bilipschitz map* $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^2, 0)$ *such that* $F_t = F_s \circ \phi$.

Note that F_t is a deformation of the quasihomogeneous germ $f = x^3 + y^6$ which has an isolated singularity at origin. Therefore, it is natural to ask for which $\theta(x, y)$ the family $f + t\theta$ is bilipschitz trivial.

3. BILIPSCHITZ DETERMINACY OF QUASIHOMOGENEOUS GERMS

Let $f_t : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ $t \in I$ (an interval in $\mathbb{R}$), be a smooth family of smooth function-germs. That is, there is a neighborhood U of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a smooth function $F : U \times I \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $F(0, t) = 0$ and $f_t(x) = F(x, t)$ $\forall t \in I, \forall x \in U$. We call f_t *strongly bilipschitz trivial* when there is a continuous family of λ-Lipschitz map-germs (vector field) $v_t : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ such that

$$\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}(x) = (df_t)_x(v_t(x))$$

$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\forall x$ near 0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

THEOREM 3.3.1. *If* f_t *is bilipschitz trivial, then for each* $t \neq s \in I$ *there is a bilipschitz map-germ* $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ *such that* $f_t = f_s \circ \phi$.

The theorem above is known as a result of Thom-Levine type and its proof is immediate, since the flow of a Lipschitz vector field is bilipschitz.

Let $\mathcal{E}_n$ be the space of smooth function-germs $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \to \mathbb{R}$. Given $f \in \mathcal{E}_n$, we denote $Nf(x) = \sum \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x)\right]^2$. We say that $Nf(x)$ satisfies a *Lojasiewicz condition* if there exist constants $c > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that $Nf(x) \geqslant c\|x\|^\alpha$.

Fix the weights $(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$. We recall that a function f is called *quasihomogeous* with respect to the given weights if there is a number d such that f satisfies the following equation:

$$f(\lambda \cdot x) = \lambda^d(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

$\forall\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\lambda \cdot x = (\lambda^{r_1} x_1, \dots, \lambda^{r_n} x_n)$. With respect to the given weights, for each monomial $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$, we define $\mathrm{fil}(x^\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i$. We define a filtration in the ring $\mathcal{E}_n$ via the function $\mathrm{fil}(f) = \min\{\mathrm{fil}(x^\alpha)\ :\ (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\alpha})(0) \neq 0\}$, for each $f \in \mathcal{E}_n$. We can extend this definition to $\mathcal{E}_{n+1}$, the ring of 1-parameter families of smooth function-germs in $\mathcal{E}_n$, by defining $\mathrm{fil}(x^\alpha t^\beta) = \mathrm{fil}(x^\alpha)$.

Let $(r_1, \dots, r_n; 2k)$ be fixed. The standard control function of type $(r_1, \dots, r_n; 2k)$ is $\rho(x) = x^{2\alpha_1} + \cdots + x^{2\alpha_n}$, where the α_i are chosen such that the function ρ is quasihomogeneous of type $(r_1, \dots, r_n; 2k)$.

LEMMA 3.3.2. *Let $h(x)$ be a quasihomogeneous polynomial function of type $(r_1, \dots, r_n; 2k)$, with $r_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant r_n$, ρ the standard control function of same type that h and $h_t(x)$ a deformation of h such that:*

$$\mathrm{fil}(h_t) \geqslant 2k + r_n\ , \quad t \in [0,1]. \tag{2}$$

Then the function $\dfrac{h_t(x)}{\rho(x)}$ is c-Lipschitz, with c independent of t.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $h_t(x)$ is quasihomogeneous of type $(r_1, \dots, r_n; d)$ where $d \geqslant 2k + r_n$. We consider $G_t(x,y) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ given by $G_t(x,y) = |\rho(y) h_t(x) - \rho(x) h_t(y)|$, $m_t(x,y) = \|x - y\| \rho(x) \rho(y)$ and $M = \{(x,y,t)\ :\ G_t(x,y) = 1\}$. Since M is closed, the number $c = \inf\{m_t(x,y)\ :\ (x,y,t) \in M)\}$ is positive. Now, let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be sufficiently near the origin $x \neq 0$, $y \neq 0$ and $x \neq y$. Let $\lambda > 0$ be such that $G_t(\lambda \cdot x, \lambda \cdot y) = 1$, that is,

$$G_t(x,y) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{2k+d}} \tag{3}$$

On the other hand, we use that $\lambda > 1$ to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} m_t(\lambda \cdot x, \lambda \cdot y) &= \lambda^{4k} \|\lambda \cdot x - \lambda \cdot y\| \rho(x) \rho(y) \\ &\leqslant \lambda^{4k + r_n} \|x - y\| \rho(x) \rho(y) \\ &= \lambda^{4k + r_n} m_t(x,y) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$

$$m_t(x,y) \geqslant \frac{1}{\lambda^{4k + r_n}} c. \tag{4}$$

Now, we use that $\lambda > 1$, $d \geqslant 2k + r_n$, (3), (3) and we obtain the following inequality $m_t(x,y) \geqslant c G_t(x,y)$, that is,

$$\left\| \frac{h_t(x)}{\rho(x)} - \frac{h_t(y)}{\rho(y)} \right\| \leqslant c^{-1} \|x - y\|.$$

∎

THEOREM 3.3.3. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{R}^n, 0$ be the germ of a quasihomogeneous polynomial function of type $(r_1, \dots, r_n; d)$, $r_1 \leqslant \dots \leqslant r_n$ with isolated singularity. Let $f_t(x) = f(x) + t\Theta(x,t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, be a smooth deformation of f. If $\text{fil}(\Theta) \geqslant d + r_n - r_1$, then f_t admits a strong bilipschitz trivialization along $I = [0,1]$.*

Proof. We can see that for each i there exists a s_i such that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ is quasihomogeneous of the type $(r_1, \dots, r_n; s_i)$, $s_i = d - r_i$.

Let N^*f be defined by

$$N^*f = \sum \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right]^{2\alpha_i},$$

where $\alpha_i = \frac{k}{s_i}$ and $k = l.c.m.(s_i)$. Therefore N^*f is a quasihomogeneous control function of the type $(r_1, \dots, r_n; 2k)$.

The lemma bellow is proved in [4].

LEMMA 3.3.4. *There exist constants $0 < c_2 < c_1$ such that*

$$c_2\rho(x) \leqslant N^*f_t(x) \leqslant c_1\rho(x) .$$

We have the following equation;

$$\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} N^*f_t = df_t(W) ,$$

where W is given by

$$W = \sum W_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \text{ where } W_i = \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right]^{2\alpha_i - 1}.$$

Since $\text{fil}\left(\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}\right) \geqslant d + r_n - r_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fil}\left(\left[\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial x_i}\right]^{2\alpha_i-1}\right) &= (2\alpha_i - 1)\text{fil}\left(\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial x_i}\right) \\ &= (2\alpha_i - 1)(d - r_i) \\ &= 2K - d + r_i \\ &\geqslant 2k - d + r_1 \end{aligned}$$

we have that $\min \text{fil}(W_i) \geqslant \text{fil}(\Theta) + 2k - d + r_1 \geqslant 2k + r_n$.

Let $v : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, 0 \to \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, 0$ be the vector field given by $\dfrac{W}{N^*_{\mathcal{R}} f_t}$. From Lemma 3.3.2, it follows that v is a Lipschitz vector field.

Finally the equation $(\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t})(x) = (df_t)_x(v(x,t))$ gives the strong bilipschitz triviality of the family $f_t(x)$ along a small open interval around $t = 0$. Since the same argument is true for each $t \in I$, the proof is complete. ∎

The following result shows that the estimate given in Theorem 3.3.3 is sharp.

PROPOSITION 3.3.5. *Let $f_t : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}, 0);\ t \in I = (-\delta, \delta) \subset \mathbb{R}$ be given by*

$$f_t(x,y) = \frac{1}{3}x^3 - t^2xy^{3n-2} + y^{3n}.$$

Then f_t is not strongly bilipschitz trivial.

REMARK 3.3.6. Let $f(x,y) = \frac{1}{3}x^3 + y^{3n}$. Note that f is quasihomogeneous of type $(n, 1; 3n)$. From Theorem 3.3.3 it follows that $f + t\theta$ is strongly bilipschitz trivial for each $\theta(x,t)$ such that $fil(\theta) \geqslant 4n - 1$.

Proof (of the Proposition 3.3.5). Let $m = 3n - 2$. Here we repeat the argument-proof from Theorem 1.1 in [2]. Suppose that $v(x,y,t) = v_1(x,y,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v_2(x,y,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ is a vector field such that:

$$(\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t})(x,y) = (df_t)_x(v(x,y,t))$$

The polar curve of f_t $\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial x}(x,y) = 0\}$ is equal to the set $\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 = t^2y^m\}$. Thus, $v_1(ty^{m/2}, y, t)$ and $v_2(-ty^{m/2}, y, t)$ satisfy:

$$v_1(ty^{m/2}, y, t)\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial y}(ty^{m/2}, y, t) = -\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}(ty^{m/2}, y, t) \tag{5}$$

$$v_2(-ty^{m/2}, y, t)\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial y}(-ty^{m/2}, y, t) = -\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}(-ty^{m/2}, y, t). \tag{6}$$

From equations (5) and (6) we have:

$$v_1(ty^{m/2}, y, t) = \frac{2t^2y^{m/2-1}}{-mt^3y^{m/2-2} + 3n}$$

$$v_2(-ty^{m/2}, y, t) = \frac{-2t^2y^{m/2-1}}{mt^3y^{m/2-2} + 3n}$$

Thus,

$$v_1(ty^{m/2}, y, t) - v_2(-ty^{m/2}, y, t) \sim y^{m/2-1} \tag{7}$$

On the other hand,

$$\|(ty^{m/2}, y, t) - (-ty^{m/2}, y, t)\| \sim y^{m/2} \tag{8}$$

But, (7) and (8) show that v_2 is not Lipschitz. Hence f is not strongly bilipschitz trivial. ∎

The invariant for bilipschitz equivalence $\mathrm{Inv}(f_t)$ presented in [2] is independent of t, hence does not distinguish the element of the given family f_t.

REFERENCES

1. S. Bromberg and S. L. de Medrano, *C^r-sufficiency of quasihomogeneous functions.* Real and Complex Singularities, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series 333, (1995), 179–189.
2. A. Parusinski and J.-P. Henry, *Existence of moduli for bilipschitz equivalence of analytic functions.* Prépublications de l'Univesité d'Angers no. 137, 2001.
3. J.-J. Risler and D. Trotman, *bilipschitz invariance of the multiplicity.* Bull. London Math. Soc. 29 (1997), no. 2, 200–204.
4. M.A.S. Ruas and M.J. Saia, *C^l-determinacy of weighted homogeneous germs.* Hokkaido Mathematical Journal, vol. 26, no 1 (1997), 89–99.
5. C. T. C. Wall, *Finite determinacy of smooth map-germs.* Bull. London Math. Society 13 (1981), 418–539.

NOTAS DO ICMC

SÉRIE MATEMÁTICA

138/2002 MANCINI, S.; MANOEL, M.; TEIXEIRA, M.A. – Divergent diagrams of folds associated with a set of involutions.

137/2002 LÓPEZ, A. – Astructure theorem for foliations on non-compact two-manifolds.

136/2002 COHN, P.M.; FERREIRA, V.O. – Split firs.

135/2002 HERNÁNDEZ M., E., HENRÍQUEZ, H. – Global solutions for a functional second order abstract Cauchy problem with nonlocal conditions.

134/2002 HERNÁNDEZ M., E., HENRÍQUEZ, H. – Existence of solutions of the functional second order abstract Cauchy problem with nonlocal conditions.

133/2002 HERNÁNDEZ M., E. – A massera type criterion for partial neutral functional differential equations.

132/2002 FERREIRA, V. O.; GONÇALVES, J.Z.; MANDEL, A. – Free symmetric and unitary pairs in division rings with involutions.

131/2001 CARBINATTO, M.C.; RYBAKOWSKI, K.P. – Morse decompositions in the absence of uniqueness.

130/2001 CONDE, A. – O terceiro problema de Hilbert.

129/2001 SAIA, M.J.; TOMAZELLA, J.N. – Deformations with constant Milnor number and multiplicity of non-degenerate complex hypersurfaces.