

On formal local cohomology modules with respect to a pair of ideals

Victor Hugo Jorge Pérez and Thiago Henrique de Freitas

Victor Hugo Jorge Pérez*

Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação, Universidade de São Paulo, Campus de São Carlos, Caixa Postal 668, 13560-970, São Carlos, SP, Brazil.
 E-mail: vhjperez@icmc.usp.br

Thiago Henrique de Freitas†

Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação, Universidade de São Paulo, Campus de São Carlos, Caixa Postal 668, 13560-970, São Carlos, SP, Brazil.
 E-mail: tfreitas@icmc.usp.br

We introduce a generalization of formal local cohomology module, which we call a formal local cohomology module with respect to a pair of ideals and study its various properties. We analyze their structure, the upper and lower vanishing and non-vanishing. There are various exact sequences concerning the formal cohomology modules. Among them a MayerVietoris sequence for two ideals with respect to pairs ideals. We also give another proof the generalized version of the local duality theorem. October, 2013 ICMC-USP

Keywords: Local Cohomology, Formal Local Cohomology, Dual.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper R is a commutative Noetherian (non-zero identity) ring and $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, I, J$ be ideals of R . For an R -module M , its well known, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ denote the i -th local cohomology module of M with respect to \mathfrak{a} (see [3], [8] for more details).

When (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring and M an R -module finitely generated, Schenzel in [15], defined an object of study as follows. Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_r$ a system of elements of R and $\mathfrak{b} = \text{Rad}(\underline{x}R)$ and $\check{C}_{\underline{x}}$ denote the Čech complex of R with respect to \underline{x} . The projective system of R -modules $\{M/\mathfrak{a}^n M\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ induces a projective system of R -complexes $\{\check{C}_{\underline{x}} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M\}$. Consider the projective limit $\varprojlim (\check{C}_{\underline{x}} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$.

* Work partially supported by CNPq-Brazil - Grants 309316/2011-1, and FAPESP Grant 2012/20304-1.

† Work partially supported by FAPESP-Brazil - Grant 308915/2006-2.

For an integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cohomology module $H^i(\varprojlim \check{C}_{\underline{x}} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$ is called the i -th \mathfrak{a} -formal cohomology with respect to \mathfrak{b} , denoted by $\check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}^i(M)$. In the case of $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{m}$ we speak simply about the i th \mathfrak{a} -formal cohomology.

Now, consider the family of local cohomology modules $\{H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. For every n , there is a natural homomorphism $H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^{n+1}M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$ such that the family forms a projective system. Their projective limit $\varprojlim H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$ is called the i -th formal local of M with respect to \mathfrak{b} denoted by $\check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}^i(M)$.

In [15] too, when $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{m}$, Schenzel has proved the following isomorphism $\check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{m}}^i(M) \cong \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$, showing the relation between formal local cohomology and projective limits of some local cohomology modules.

In [18], Takahashi, Yoshino and Yoshizawa introduced a generalization of the notion of local cohomology module, call a local cohomology module with respect to a pair of ideals (I, J) , and obtained various results, important for our purpose. More accurately, for R -module M (not necessarily finitely generated), the set of elements of M

$$\Gamma_{I,J}(M) = \{x \in M \mid I^n x \subseteq Jx \text{ for } n \gg 1\}$$

is a left exact functor, additive and covariant, from the category of all R -modules, called (I, J) -torsion functor. For an integer i , the i -th right derived functor of $\Gamma_{I,J}$ is denoted by $H_{I,J}^i$ and will be call to as i -th local cohomology functor with respect to (I, J) . For an R -module M , $H_{I,J}^i(M)$ refer as the i -th local cohomology module of M , with respect to (I, J) and $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$ as the (I, J) -torsion part of M . When $J = 0$, the $H_{I,J}^i$ coincides with the usual local homology functor H_I^i .

In this paper too, the authors introduce a generalization of Čech complexes, as follows. For an element $x \in R$, let $S_{x,J}$ the set multiplicatively closed subset of R , consisting of all elements of the form $x^n + j$ where $j \in J$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For an R -module M , let $M_{x,J} = S_{x,J}^{-1}M$. The complex $\check{C}_{x,J}$ is defined as

$$\check{C}_{x,J} : 0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R_{x,J} \rightarrow 0$$

where R is sitting in the 0th position and $R_{x,J}$ in the 1st position in the complex. For a system of elements of R $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_s$, define a complex $\check{C}_{\underline{x},J} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^s \check{C}_{x_i,J}$. If $J = 0$ this definition coincides with the usual Čech complex with respect to $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_s$.

Now, we are able to introduce the new object of study and proof some results.

2. FORMAL LOCAL COHOMOLOGY WITH RESPECT TO A PAIR OF IDEALS

Again as done above, consider $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_s$ is a system of elements of R which generate the ideal I . Let $\check{C}_{\underline{x},J}$ the Čech complex of R with respect to (I, J) . For an R -module M finitely generated and an ideal \mathfrak{a} the projective system of R -modules $\{M/\mathfrak{a}^n M\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ induces a projective system of R -complexes $\{\check{C}_{\underline{x},J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M\}$. Let the projective limit $\varprojlim (\check{C}_{\underline{x},J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$.

DEFINITION 2.2.1. *Using the construction above, for an integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cohomology module $H^i(\varprojlim (\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M))$ is called the i -th \mathfrak{a} -formal cohomology with respect to (I, J) , denoted by $\check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M)$.*

Note that, if $J = 0$, $\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J}$ coincides with the usual Čech complex $\check{C}_{\underline{x}}$ with respect to $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_s$. Therefore $\check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, 0}^i(M) \cong \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I}^i(M)$. Now, if $J = 0$ and $I = \mathfrak{m}$ we have $\check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, 0}^i(M) \cong \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$. This new definition is a natural generalization of \mathfrak{a} -formal cohomology with respect to \mathfrak{b} and \mathfrak{a} -formal cohomology, both introduced by Schenzel in [15] and discussed by Mafi, Asgharzadeh and Divaani-Aazar, Eghbali and Chu in other papers.

PROPOSITION 2.2.2. *Let R be a local Noetherian ring, $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_s$ elements of R , $I = (\underline{x})$ ideal of R and M finitely generated R -module. If M is J -torsion R -module, then $\check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) \cong \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I}^i(M)$.*

Proof. By Takahashi and etal, in [18, Corollary 2.5], we have

$$(\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \cong (\check{C}_{\underline{x}} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M).$$

Applying the inverse limit we obtain

$$\varprojlim (\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \cong \varprojlim (\check{C}_{\underline{x}} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) &= H^i(\varprojlim (\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)) \\ &= H^i(\varprojlim (\check{C}_{\underline{x}} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)) \\ &= \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I}^i(M). \end{aligned}$$

■

THEOREM 2.2.3. *Let I and J be ideals of R as before. Consider $\phi : R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring homomorphism and let M' be an R' -module. If $\phi(J) = JR'$, then there is a natural isomorphism $\check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M') \cong \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}R', IR', JR'}^i(M')$.*

Proof. Let $I = (x_1, \dots, x_s)R$ and $\phi(\underline{x}) = \phi(x_1), \dots, \phi(x_s)$. Let $S_{x_i, J}$ a multiplicatively closed subset of R , described in the construction of Čech complex for an element. By hypothesis $\phi(S_{x_i, J}) = S_{\phi(x_i), JR'}$ for all i with $1 \leq i \leq s$. Thus we have $\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes M'/\mathfrak{a}^n M'$ homotopic to $\check{C}_{\phi(\underline{x}), JR'} \otimes M'/\mathfrak{a}R'^n M'$. Applying the inverse limit and cohomology we have the statement. ■

Is important to remember that the hypothesis $\phi(J) = JR'$ in the theorem above cannot be remove. For more details see [18, Remark 2.8].

Now, let the family of local cohomology modules $\{H_{I, J}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. For every n there is a natural homomorphism $H_{I, J}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^{n+1} M) \rightarrow H_{I, J}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$ such that the family forms

a projective system. Their projective limit $\varprojlim H_{I,J}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$ is called the i -th formal local cohomology of M with respect to a pair of ideals I, J denoted by $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},I,J}^i(M)$.

The natural question is: When $\check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a},I,J}^i(M)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},I,J}^i(M)$? For try answer this question, following result is proved.

PROPOSITION 2.2.4. *Using the notation preceding, there is the short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim^1 H_{I,J}^{i-1}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \rightarrow H^i(\varprojlim(\check{C}_{\underline{x},J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)) \rightarrow \varprojlim H_{I,J}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \rightarrow 0$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let the natural epimorphism $M/\mathfrak{a}^{n+1}M \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{a}^n M$ and using the fact that \check{C} ech complex $\check{C}_{\underline{x},J}$ is a complex of flat R -modules, we have an R -morphism of R -complexes

$$\check{C}_{\underline{x},J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^{n+1}M \rightarrow \check{C}_{\underline{x},J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M$$

(which is degree-wise an epimorphism). By the definition of the projective limit, there is a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim(\check{C}_{\underline{x},J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \rightarrow \prod(\check{C}_{\underline{x},J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \rightarrow \prod(\check{C}_{\underline{x},J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \rightarrow 0.$$

So, there is the long exact cohomology sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^i(\varprojlim(\check{C}_{\underline{x},J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)) \rightarrow H^i(K^\bullet) \rightarrow H^i(K^\bullet) \rightarrow \cdots$$

where $K^\bullet = \prod(\check{C}_{\underline{x},J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$.

Applying [19, Theorem 3.5.8] in the complex $\mathbf{C}^\bullet : \check{C}_{\underline{x},J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M$ follows

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim^1 H^{i-1}(\mathbf{C}^\bullet) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbf{C}^\bullet) \rightarrow \varprojlim H^i(\mathbf{C}^\bullet) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $H_{I,J}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \cong H^i(\check{C}_{\underline{x},J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$ (see [18, Theorem 2.4]), for all i integer, the statement is proved. \blacksquare

PROPOSITION 2.2.5. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Noetherian ring, $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_s$ elements of R , $I = (\underline{x}), J$ ideals of R and M finitely generated R -module.*

- (a) *If M is J -torsion R -module then $H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M)$ is an Artinian R -module.*
- (b) *If M is J -torsion R -module and $\sqrt{I+J} = \mathfrak{m}$ then $H_{I,J}^i(M)$ is an Artinian R -module.*

Proof. (a) Because $H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ (see [18, Corollary 2.5])and the fact [3, Theorem 7.1.3], we have the statement.

(b) By Proposition 2.4. (vii) and (vi) in [13], $H_{I,J}^i(M) = H_{\sqrt{I+J},J}^i(M) = H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M) = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ which is an Artinian R -module. \blacksquare

COROLLARY 2.2.6. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Noetherian ring, $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_s$ elements of R , $I = (\underline{x})$, J ideals of R and M finitely generated R -module.*

- (a) *If M is a J -torsion R -module then, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M) = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M)$.*
- (b) *If M is a J -torsion R -module and $\sqrt{I+J} = \mathfrak{m}$ then, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M)$.*
- (c) *If M is an Artinian R -module then $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. For proof of the statement (a) note that, because M is J -torsion R -module, $M/\mathfrak{a}^n M$ is too J -torsion R -module. Then, by proposition above, $H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$ is an Artinian R -module, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. So the family $\{H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition. By Proposition 2.2.4 and using the fact that \varprojlim^1 vanishes on the projective system of Artinian R -modules, which proves the statement. The proof of (b) is analogous.

For (c), use the remark above to proof that $H_{I, J}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$ is an Artinian R -module, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Applying the previous idea finishes the proof. ■

COROLLARY 2.2.7. *Let I and J be ideals of R as before. Consider $\phi : R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring homomorphism, M' be a finitely generated R' -module and $\phi(J) = JR'$.*

- (a) *If M' is a J -torsion R' -module then, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M') = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}R', \mathfrak{m}R', JR'}^i(M')$.*
- (b) *If M' is a J -torsion R' -module and $\sqrt{I+J} = \mathfrak{m}$ then, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M') = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}R', IR', JR'}^i(M')$.*
- (c) *If M' is an Artinian R' -module, then for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M') = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}R', IR', JR'}^i(M')$.*

Proof. Since M' is too JR' -torsion R' -module, by previous corollary and Theorem 2.2.3 we have the proof of (a). The same idea can be used in assertions (b) and (c). ■

3. COHOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION

Let a local Noetherian ring (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) , \mathfrak{a}, I, J ideals of R and M be a R -module finitely generated. We now establish some preliminary results on cohomological dimension of R -module M with respect to a pair of ideals (I, J) . First, is known that Divaani-Aazr, Naghipour and Tousi in [5] were the precursors on the term "cohomological dimension", defined by

$$\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \neq 0\}.$$

In our context, Chu and Wang in [4] define the cohomological dimension of R -module M with respect to a pair of ideals (I, J) , defined by

$$\text{cd}(I, J, M) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : H_{I, J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$$

and gives a characterization about this integer.

Chu and Wang in [4] too generalize the result of P. Schenzel [15, Lema 2.1], using this new concept. This result is gives below.

PROPOSITION 3.3.1. *Let I be a proper of a commutative Noetherian ring R and M, N be a finitely generated R -modules such that $\text{Supp}_R N \subseteq \text{Supp}_R M$. Then $\text{cd}(I, J, N) \leq \text{cd}(I, J, M)$.*

COROLLARY 3.3.2. *Let M be a finitely generated R -module. Then*

$$\text{cd}(I, J, M) = \text{cd}(I, J, R/\text{Ann}_R M) = \max\{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}) : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}M\}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the [15, Corollary 2.2]. ■

LEMMA 3.3.3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) a local ring, $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_s$ be a system of elements of the ring R , $\mathfrak{a} = (\underline{x}), J$ ideals of R and M be a finitely generated R -module. Then*

$$\text{cd}((\mathfrak{a}, yR), J, M) \leq \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, J, M) + 1$$

for any element $y \in \mathfrak{m}$.

Proof. By construction in [18], we can consider the Čech complex

$$\check{C}_{\underline{x}, y, J} = \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^s \check{C}_{x_i, J} \right) \otimes \check{C}_{y, J}.$$

Now, for the natural homomorphism $\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \rightarrow \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes R_y$, let the complex $M(f) = \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \oplus (\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes R_y[-1])$ namely mapping cone. Note that the mapping cone $M(f)$ is isomorphic to $\check{C}_{\underline{x}, y, J}$, then we can consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes R_y[-1] \rightarrow \check{C}_{\underline{x}, y, J} \rightarrow \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \rightarrow 0.$$

By [16, Lemma 1.1] and using [18, Theorem 2.4], for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{yR, J}^1(H_{\mathfrak{a}, J}^{n-1}(M)) \rightarrow H_{(\mathfrak{a}, yR), J}^n(M) \rightarrow H_{yR, J}^0(H_{\mathfrak{a}, J}^n(M)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $j = \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, J, M)$, then by the exact sequence previous and definition of cohomological dimension with respect to a pair of ideals, we have $H_{(\mathfrak{a}, yR), J}^{i+1}(M) = 0$ for all $i > j$. Therefore $\text{cd}((\mathfrak{a}, yR), J, M) \leq j + 1$, and the proof is completed. ■

THEOREM 3.3.4. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) a local ring, $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_s$ be a system of elements of the ring R and $\mathfrak{a}, I = (\underline{x})$ and J ideals of R . Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ denote a short exact sequence of finitely generated R -modules. Then there is a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(A) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(B) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(C) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^{i+1}(A) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Proof. It is well known that the short exact sequence previous induces a projective system of short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes A/B \cap \mathfrak{a}^n A \rightarrow \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes B/\mathfrak{a}^n B \rightarrow \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes C/\mathfrak{a}^n C \rightarrow 0$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Because $\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J}$ is a complex of flat R -modules and the maps

$$A/B \cap \mathfrak{a}^{n+1} A \rightarrow A/B \cap \mathfrak{a}^n A$$

are surjective, it follows that the projective system of R -complexes $\{\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes A/B \cap \mathfrak{a}^n A\}$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition. Therefore, applying the inverse limit, we have the exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes A/B \cap \mathfrak{a}^n A \rightarrow \varprojlim \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes B/\mathfrak{a}^n B \rightarrow \varprojlim \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes C/\mathfrak{a}^n C \rightarrow 0$$

In the case $\{B \cap \mathfrak{a}^n A\}$ is equivalent to the \mathfrak{a} -adic topology on A and by Artin-Rees lemma [2, Ch. III,3, Cor. 1], we have

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^i(\varprojlim \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes A/\mathfrak{a}^n A) \rightarrow H^i(\varprojlim \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes B/\mathfrak{a}^n B) \rightarrow H^i(\varprojlim \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes C/\mathfrak{a}^n C) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Using the definition of Formal local cohomology defined by a pair of ideals finishes the proof. ■

COROLLARY 3.3.5. *Using the same hypothesis of theorem above, there is the long exact sequence:*

(a)

$$\cdots \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^i(A) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^i(B) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^i(C) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^{i+1}(A) \rightarrow \cdots$$

if B is a J -torsion R -modules.

(b)

$$\cdots \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(A) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(B) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(C) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^{i+1}(A) \rightarrow \cdots$$

if B is a J -torsion R -modules and $\sqrt{I + J} = \mathfrak{m}$.

(c)

$$\cdots \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(A) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(B) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(C) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^{i+1}(A) \rightarrow \cdots$$

if B is an Artinian R -module.

Proof. For proof of all the cases, apply Corollary 2.2.6 and theorem previous. \blacksquare

PROPOSITION 3.3.6. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) a local ring, $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a system of elements of the ring R and $\mathfrak{a}, I = (\underline{x})$ and J ideals of R . Consider M a finitely generated R -module, $N \subseteq M$ be a R -module such that $\text{Supp } N \cap V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{m})$ and $\overline{M} = M/N$. Then there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow N^{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^0(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^0(\overline{M}) \rightarrow 0$$

and isomorphisms $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) \cong \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(\overline{M})$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Proof. Consider the short sequence exact $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow 0$. As well as in Theorem 3.3.4, there is the following long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes N/\mathfrak{a}^n N \rightarrow \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M \rightarrow \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes \overline{M}/\mathfrak{a}^n \overline{M} \rightarrow 0$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By view of the long exact cohomology sequence it follows that there is a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^i(\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes N/\mathfrak{a}^n N) \rightarrow H^i(\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \rightarrow H^i(\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes \overline{M}/\mathfrak{a}^n \overline{M}) \rightarrow \dots$$

By [18], $H^i(\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes X) \cong H_{I, J}^i(X)$ for all R -module X , so

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{I, J}^i(N/\mathfrak{a}^n N) \rightarrow H_{I, J}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \rightarrow H_{I, J}^i(\overline{M}/\mathfrak{a}^n \overline{M}) \rightarrow \dots$$

The assumption $\text{Supp } N \cap V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{m})$ implies that $N/\mathfrak{a}^n N$ is an R -module of finite length, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 4.7 in [18], for all $i > 0$, $H_{I, J}^i(N/\mathfrak{a}^n N) = 0$. Therefore we have

$$0 \rightarrow H_{I, J}^0(N/\mathfrak{a}^n N) \rightarrow H_{I, J}^0(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \rightarrow H_{I, J}^0(\overline{M}/\mathfrak{a}^n \overline{M}) \rightarrow 0$$

and isomorphisms $H_{I, J}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \cong H_{I, J}^i(\overline{M}/\mathfrak{a}^n \overline{M})$ for all $i > 0$. Note that the family $\{H_{I, J}^0(N/\mathfrak{a}^n N)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Artinian R -modules ([13], Theorem 3.7 show that $H_{I, J}^0(N/\mathfrak{a}^n N)$ is Artinian), satisfy the Mittag-Leffler condition. Passing to the projective limit in the exact sequence previous we have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^0(N) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^0(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^0(\overline{M}) \rightarrow 0$$

and isomorphisms $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) \cong \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(\overline{M})$ for all $i \geq 1$. Now, as for all $i > 0$ $H_{I, J}^i(N/\mathfrak{a}^n N) = 0$, by Corollary 4.2 in [18], M is (I, J) -torsion R -module. Therefore $H_{I, J}^0(N/\mathfrak{a}^n N) = N/\mathfrak{a}^n N$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^0(N) = \varprojlim N/\mathfrak{a}^n N = N^{\mathfrak{a}}$. \blacksquare

COROLLARY 3.3.7. *Consider the same hypothesis of proposition previous.*

(a) If M is a J -torsion R -module there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^0(N) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^0(M) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^0(\overline{M}) \rightarrow 0$$

and isomorphisms $\check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M) \cong \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^i(\overline{M}) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

(b) If M is a J -torsion R -module and $\sqrt{I+J} = \mathfrak{m}$, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^0(N) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^0(M) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^0(\overline{M}) \rightarrow 0$$

and isomorphisms $\check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) \cong \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(\overline{M}) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Proof. Use the Corollary 2.2.6 and proposition above. \blacksquare

THEOREM 3.3.8. *Let M be a finitely generated R -module. Choose $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ an element such that $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R M \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, and let $M' = M/xM$.*

(a) If M is a J -torsion R -module there are short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_0(x; \varprojlim H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \rightarrow \varprojlim H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i (M'/\mathfrak{a}^n M') \rightarrow H_1(x; \varprojlim H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^{i+1} M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \rightarrow 0$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(b) If M is a J -torsion R -module and $\sqrt{I+J} = \mathfrak{m}$, there are short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_0(x; \varprojlim H_{I, J}^i M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \rightarrow \varprojlim H_{I, J}^i (M'/\mathfrak{a}^n M') \rightarrow H_1(x; \varprojlim H_{I, J}^{i+1} M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \rightarrow 0$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We will proof of a), and b) is analogue. By the choice of x it follows that $0 :_M x$ is an R -module of finite length. Moreover the multiplication by x induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow 0 :_M x \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$$

breaks into two short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow 0$, where $N = 0 :_M x$ and $\overline{M} = M/N$, and $0 \rightarrow \overline{M} \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$.

The first of this sequences induces the isomorphisms $\varprojlim H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i (M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \cong \varprojlim H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i (\overline{M}/\mathfrak{a}^n \overline{M})$ for all $i > 0$ and a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N^{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow \varprojlim H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^0 (M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \rightarrow \varprojlim H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^0 (\overline{M}/\mathfrak{a}^n \overline{M}) \rightarrow 0$$

by Proposition 3.3.6. By Corollary 3.3.5, the second sequence induces a long exact sequence for the formal cohomology modules

$$\cdots \rightarrow \varprojlim H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i (\overline{M}/\mathfrak{a}^n \overline{M}) \xrightarrow{x} \varprojlim H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i (M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \rightarrow \varprojlim H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i (\overline{M}'/\mathfrak{a}^n \overline{M}') \rightarrow \cdots$$

With the isomorphisms above this proves the claim for $i > 0$. To this end one has to break up the long exact sequence into short exact sequences. For the proof in the case $i = 0$, the only remaining case, consider the composite of the above short exact sequence with the previous one for $i = 0$. Then this completes the proof for $i = 0$. \blacksquare

4. NON-VANISHING

Let M be a finitely generated R -module. Let $\mathfrak{a}, I = (\underline{x}), J$ ideals in the local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) . In this section, our purpose is to know the integers $\sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$ and $\sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$.

PROPOSITION 4.4.1. *Consider an ideal \mathfrak{a} such that $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = 0$. Then*

$$(a) \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq 0 \\ M^{\mathfrak{a}} & \text{if } i = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(b) \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) = \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M), \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. For (a), by [18, Theorem 4.7], $H_{I, J}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$ and by [18, Corollary 4.2], $M/\mathfrak{a}^n M$ is (I, J) -torsion R -module. Then $H_{I, J}^0(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) = \Gamma_{I, J}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) = M/\mathfrak{a}^n M$. Passing to the projective limit finishes the proof. For proof of (b), use Proposition 2.2.4. \blacksquare

THEOREM 4.4.2. *Let M be a finitely generated module over a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) . Let \mathfrak{a}, I, J ideals of R such that $J \neq R$ and $I + J$ is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Then,*

$$\dim_R M/(\mathfrak{a} + J)M = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. By [18, Theorem 4.3], $H_{I, J}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) = 0$ for any $i > \dim \frac{M/\mathfrak{a}^n M}{J(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)}$. But, $\dim \frac{M/\mathfrak{a}^n M}{J(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)} = \dim \frac{M}{(J+\mathfrak{a})M}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore

$$\dim_R M/(\mathfrak{a} + J)M \geq \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) \neq 0\}.$$

On the other hand, let $r = \dim(\frac{M/\mathfrak{a}^n M}{J(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)}) = \dim(\frac{M}{(J+\mathfrak{a})M})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $I + J$ is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal, we have $H_{I, J}^i(M) = H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M)$ for any integer i . Thus we may assume $I = \mathfrak{m}$. Denote $\overline{M} = M/\mathfrak{a}^n M$, then the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J\overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}/J\overline{M} \rightarrow 0$$

induces an exact cohomology sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^r(\overline{M}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^r(\overline{M}/J\overline{M}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^{r+1}(J\overline{M}).$$

Since $\dim J\overline{M}/J^2\overline{M} \leq \dim \overline{M}/J^2\overline{M} = \dim \overline{M}/J\overline{M} = r$, by [18, Theorem 4.3], $H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^{r+1}(J\overline{M}) = 0$. Because $\overline{M}/J\overline{M}$ is a J -torsion R -module, by [18, Corollary 2.5] and Grothendieck's non-vanishing theorem

$$H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^r(\overline{M}/J\overline{M}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^r(\overline{M}/J\overline{M}) \neq 0.$$

Therefore $H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^r(\overline{M}) \neq 0$ and this implies that $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},I,J}^r(M) \neq 0$. This proves the statement. \blacksquare

REMARK 4.4.3. If M be a finitely generated R -module then:

- (a) $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},I,J}^i(M) = 0$ for any $i > \dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M)$. (see [18], Theorem 4.7)
- (b) $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},I,J}^i(M) = 0$ for any $i > \dim(M/(\mathfrak{a} + J)M)$, if $J \neq R$. (see [18], Theorem 4.3)
- (c) $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},I,J}^i(M) = 0$ for any $i > \dim R/J$. (see [18], Corollary 4.4)
- (d) If M is (I, J) -torsion R -module, $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},I,J}^i(M) = 0$ for any i integer. (see [18], Corollary 1.13)

5. THE MAYER-VIETORIS SEQUENCE

THEOREM 5.5.1. Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, I, J$ ideals of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) , $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and M a finitely generated R -module. Then there is the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}, I, J}^i(M) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) \oplus \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{b}, I, J}^i(M) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}), I, J}^i(M) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}, I, J}^{i+1}(M) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Proof. Let the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M/(\mathfrak{a}^n M \cap \mathfrak{b}^n M) \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{a}^n M \oplus M/\mathfrak{b}^n M \rightarrow M/(\mathfrak{a}^n, \mathfrak{b}^n)M \rightarrow 0.$$

It induces a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \check{C}_{\mathfrak{x}, J} \otimes \frac{M}{(\mathfrak{a}^n M \cap \mathfrak{b}^n M)} \rightarrow (\check{C}_{\mathfrak{x}, J} \otimes \frac{M}{\mathfrak{a}^n M}) \oplus (\check{C}_{\mathfrak{x}, J} \otimes \frac{M}{\mathfrak{b}^n M}) \rightarrow \check{C}_{\mathfrak{x}, J} \otimes \frac{M}{(\mathfrak{a}^n, \mathfrak{b}^n)M} \rightarrow 0.$$

Because $\check{C}_{\mathfrak{x}, J}$ is a complex of flat R -modules and the maps

$$M/(\mathfrak{a}^{n+1} \cap \mathfrak{b}^{n+1})M \rightarrow M/(\mathfrak{a}^n \cap \mathfrak{b}^n)M$$

are surjective, it follows that the projective system of R -complexes $\{\check{C}_{\mathfrak{x}, J} \otimes M/(\mathfrak{a}^n M \cap \mathfrak{b}^n M)\}$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition. Therefore, applying the inverse limit, we have the exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim \check{C}_{\mathfrak{x}, J} \otimes \frac{M}{(\mathfrak{a}^n M \cap \mathfrak{b}^n M)} \rightarrow \varprojlim (\check{C}_{\mathfrak{x}, J} \otimes \frac{M}{\mathfrak{a}^n M}) \oplus \varprojlim (\check{C}_{\mathfrak{x}, J} \otimes \frac{M}{\mathfrak{b}^n M}) \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow \varprojlim \check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes \frac{M}{(\mathfrak{a}^n, \mathfrak{b}^n)M} \rightarrow 0.$$

We can observe that the $(\mathfrak{a}^n, \mathfrak{b}^n)$ -adic filtration is equivalent to the filtration $\{(\mathfrak{a}^n, \mathfrak{b}^n)M\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then to finish the proof we have to show the $(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b})$ -adic filtration on M is equivalent to the filtration $\{(\mathfrak{a}^n \cap \mathfrak{b}^n)M\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Note that $(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})^n M \subseteq (\mathfrak{a}^n \cap \mathfrak{b}^n)M \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^n M \cap \mathfrak{b}^n M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ denote a given integer. By Artin-Rees Lemma [2, Ch. III,3, Cor. 1], there exists an $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}^n M \cap \mathfrak{b}^m M \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^{n-k} \mathfrak{b}^m M$ for all $n \geq k$. Note too that the $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$ -adic and the $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ -adic topology on M are equivalent. If consider the long exact cohomology sequence and the definition of Formal local cohomology defined by a pair of ideals finishes the proof. \blacksquare

COROLLARY 5.5.2. *Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, I, J$ ideals of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) , $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and M be a finitely generated R -module.*

(a) *If M is J -torsion R -module, there is a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M) \oplus \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}), \mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^{i+1}(M) \rightarrow \cdots$$

(b) *If M is J -torsion R -module and $\sqrt{I + J} = \mathfrak{m}$, there is a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}, I, J}^i(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) \oplus \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{b}, I, J}^i(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}), I, J}^i(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}, I, J}^{i+1}(M) \rightarrow \cdots$$

(c) *If M is Artinian R -module, there is a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}, I, J}^i(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, I, J}^i(M) \oplus \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{b}, I, J}^i(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}), I, J}^i(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}, I, J}^{i+1}(M) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Proof. We will go show the proof of a) and the other cases are analogous. Because M is J -torsion, any quotient of M is too J -torsion. Then, by Corollary 2.2.6 and theorem previous we have the statement. \blacksquare

6. LOCAL DUALITY FOR AN PAIR OF IDEALS

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{K})$ be a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with a canonical module ω . Then, for $0 \leq i \leq d$, it is well known the existence of isomorphisms

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M) = \text{Ext}_R^{d-i}(M, \omega)^\vee$$

where $(-)^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(-, E_R(\mathbb{K}))$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) \cong \omega^\vee$. This result is called local duality Theorem. There is a generalization of this result in [18, Theorem 5.1].

The purpose of this section is give a another proof of Local Duality Theorem for a pair of ideals and, in our context, obtain any results about formal local cohomology defined by a pair of ideals.

LEMMA 6.6.1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) denote a local ring, $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a system of elements of R such that $\mathfrak{m} = (\underline{x})$ and J ideal of R . If M a finitely generated R -module then, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are the isomorphisms*

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(H^{-i}(\text{Hom}_R(M, D_{\underline{x}, J})), E)$$

where E denotes the injective hull of R/\mathfrak{m} and $D_{\underline{x},J} = \text{Hom}_R(\check{C}_{\underline{x},J}, E)$.

Proof. Proceeding analogously the construction made in [16, Theorem 1.7], change $D_{\underline{x}}$ by $D_{\underline{x},J}$ we obtain the result. ■

LEMMA 6.6.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{K})$ be a local ring of dimension d , J be a perfect ideal of R of grade t , i.e., $\text{pd}_R R/J = \text{grade}(J, R) = t$. If R is Gorenstein then*

$$H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^i(R) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq d-t \\ \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{ht}\mathfrak{p}=d-t \\ \mathfrak{p} \in W(\mathfrak{m},J)}} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) & \text{if } i = d-t \end{cases} .$$

Proof. Let I^\bullet be a minimal injective resolution of R . Since R is Gorenstein, for each i one has an isomorphism

$$I^i = \bigoplus_{\text{ht}\mathfrak{p}=i} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) .$$

Applying the functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m},J}(-)$ and using the Proposition 1.11 in [18] follows the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{ht}\mathfrak{p}=0 \\ \mathfrak{p} \in W(\mathfrak{m},J)}} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{ht}\mathfrak{p}=1 \\ \mathfrak{p} \in W(\mathfrak{m},J)}} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{ht}\mathfrak{p}=2 \\ \mathfrak{p} \in W(\mathfrak{m},J)}} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Now, by Corollary 4.4 and Lemma 5.2 in [18], $H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^i(R) = 0$ for $i \neq d-t$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^{d-t}(R) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{ht}\mathfrak{p}=d-t \\ \mathfrak{p} \in W(\mathfrak{m},J)}} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$. This finishes the proof. ■

THEOREM 6.6.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{K})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension d , J be a perfect ideal of R of grade t , i.e., $\text{pd}_R R/J = \text{grade}(J, R) = t$. If M is a finitely generated R -module, there are isomorphisms*

$$H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-t-i}(M, S)^\vee$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq d-t$, where $(-)^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(-, E_R(\mathbb{K}))$ and $S = H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^{d-t}(R)^\vee$.

Proof. Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ elements of R such that $\mathfrak{m} = (\underline{x})$. Since $H^i(\check{C}_{\underline{x},J}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^i(R)$, by Lemma 6.6.2 follows

$$H^i(\check{C}_{\underline{x},J}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq d-t \\ \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{ht}\mathfrak{p}=d-t \\ \mathfrak{p} \in W(\mathfrak{m},J)}} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) & \text{if } i = d-t \end{cases} .$$

Denote $\bar{E} = \bigoplus_{\substack{ht\mathfrak{p}=d-t \\ \mathfrak{p} \in W(\mathfrak{m}, J)}} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$, follows that $\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J}$ is a flat resolution of \bar{E} shifted $d - t$

places to the right. Therefore $D_{\underline{x}, J} = \text{Hom}_R(\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J}, E_R(\mathbb{K}))$ is an injective resolution of $\text{Hom}_R(\bar{E}, E)$ shifted $d - t$ places to the right. Since

$$H^{-i}(\text{Hom}_R(M, D_{\underline{x}, J})) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-t-i}(M, \text{Hom}_R(\bar{E}, E))$$

and $\text{Hom}_R(\bar{E}, E) = H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i(R)^\vee$ by Lemma 6.6.2, applying Lemma 6.6.1 we have the statement. ■

The natural question is : The same theorem is true when R is Cohen Macaulay?. For answer this we need a preliminary observations. Let R be a commutative noetherian ring, I, J two ideals of R and M be a R -module. Let

$$\text{depth}(I, J, M) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 ; H_{I, J}^i(M) \neq 0\}.$$

If we consider M is a finitely generated module over a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and $J \neq R$, by Theorem 4.5 in [18] and definition above, we have $H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M) \neq 0$ for all

$$\text{depth}(\mathfrak{m}, J, M) \leq i \leq \dim M/JM.$$

When $\text{depth}(\mathfrak{m}, J, M) = \dim M/JM$, the R -module $M \neq 0$ is called (\mathfrak{m}, J) -Cohen Macaulay (or if $M = 0$). If R itself is an (\mathfrak{m}, J) -Cohen-Macaulay R -module we say that R is an (\mathfrak{m}, J) -Cohen Macaulay ring. In this definition its obvious that $J \neq 0$. Note too that if $J = 0$ this natural definition of (\mathfrak{m}, J) -Cohen-Macaulay coincides with definition of Cohen-Macaulay R -modules. The same definition can be made for any I, J two ideals of R and for this, for more details we recommend see [1]. Under this comments, we will go answer the question previous.

THEOREM 6.6.4. *Let $M \neq 0$ be a finitely generated module over a local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{K})$. Suppose that R is (I, J) -Cohen-Macaulay where $I + J$ is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Then, there are isomorphisms*

$$H_{I, J}^i(M)^\vee \cong \text{Ext}_R^{\hat{d}-i}(M, S)$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq \hat{d}$, where $(-)^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(-, E_R(\mathbb{K}))$, $S = H_{I, J}^{\hat{d}}(R)^\vee$ and $\hat{d} := \dim(M/JM)$.

Proof. First note that since $I + J$ is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal, by Proposition 1.4 (6),(7) in [18] we have $H_{I, J}^i(R) = H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i(R)$ for any i integer, i.e, in this case R is (I, J) -Cohen Macaulay if and only if R is (\mathfrak{m}, J) -Cohen-Macaulay. Thus we may assume that $I = \mathfrak{m}$.

Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ elements of R such that $\mathfrak{m} = (\underline{x})$. Since $H^i(\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i(R)$ and R is (\mathfrak{m}, J) -Cohen-Macaulay, $\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J}$ is a flat resolution of $H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^{\hat{d}}(R)$ shifted \hat{d} places to the right because $H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i(R) = 0$ for all $i \neq \hat{d}$ (see [18, Theorem 4.5] or [1, Corollary 4.13]). Now,

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M) \cong H^i(\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J}[-\hat{d}] \otimes_R M) \cong H_{\hat{d}-i}(\check{C}_{\underline{x}, J} \otimes_R M) \cong \text{Tor}_{\hat{d}-i}^R(H_{\mathfrak{m}, J}^{\hat{d}}(R), M).$$

Let K^\bullet be a free resolution of M . Thus, as $H_{\hat{d}-i}(K^\bullet \otimes_R H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^{\hat{d}}(R)) \cong \text{Tor}_{\hat{d}-i}^R(M, H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^{\hat{d}}(R))$, follows $H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M) \cong H_{\hat{d}-i}(K^\bullet \otimes_R H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^{\hat{d}}(R))$. Therefore, for all i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M)^\vee &\cong H_{\hat{d}-i}(K^\bullet \otimes_R H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^{\hat{d}}(R))^\vee \\ &\cong H^{\hat{d}-i}((K^\bullet \otimes_R H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^{\hat{d}}(R))^\vee) \\ &\cong H^{\hat{d}-i}(\text{Hom}_R(K^\bullet \otimes_R H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^{\hat{d}}(R), E_R(\mathbb{K}))) \\ &\cong H^{\hat{d}-i}(\text{Hom}_R(K^\bullet, H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^{\hat{d}}(R)^\vee)) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^{\hat{d}-i}(M, H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^{\hat{d}}(R)^\vee). \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 6.6.5. Note that this theorem is a generalization of Theorem 5.1 in [18] because, if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Cohen-Macaulay complete local ring of dimension d and J be a perfect ideal of R such that $\text{grade}(J, R) = t$, then $\dim R/J = d - t$. Therefore

$$H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M)^\vee \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-t-i}(M, H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^{\hat{d}}(R)^\vee)$$

for all integer i by theorem above.

REMARK 6.6.6. Which the same hypothesis of theorem above and suppose that R is (I, J) -torsion R -module we obtain, by Corollary 1.13 in [18], that R/J is an Artinian R -module. Therefore $\Gamma_{I,J}(R) \cong \Gamma_{I,J}(R)^\vee$.

We are interested here now, using this previous results, is an characterization of formal local cohomology defined by a pair of ideals using local cohomology and Matlis duality functor. The next result show this relation.

THEOREM 6.6.7. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) denote a local ring, $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a system of elements of R such that $\mathfrak{m} = (\underline{x})$ and J ideal of R . If M is a finitely generated R -module then, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are the isomorphisms*

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-i}(\text{Hom}_R(M, D_{\underline{x},J})), E_R(\mathbb{K})).$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.6.1, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are the isomorphisms

$$H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(H^{-i}(\text{Hom}_R(M, D_{\underline{x},J})), E_R(\mathbb{K}))$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. By passing the projective limit and using the fact that

$$\varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M, D_{\underline{x},J}) \cong \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(\text{Hom}_R(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M, D_{\underline{x},J}))$$

we obtain the statement. \blacksquare

REMARK 6.6.8. In the other hand, using the same hypothesis in Theorem 6.6.4 we obtain

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},I,J}^i(M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\varinjlim \text{Ext}_R^{\widehat{d}-i}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M, S), E_R(\mathbb{K})).$$

Note that, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\varinjlim \text{Ext}_R^{\widehat{d}-i}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M, S)$ is exactly the generalized local cohomology with respect to \mathfrak{a} (denoted by $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\widehat{d}-i}(M, S)$), introduced by Herzog in [9]. Therefore

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},I,J}^i(M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\widehat{d}-i}(M, S)^\vee$$

where $(-)^{\vee} = \text{Hom}_R(-, E_R(\mathbb{K}))$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. This show the relation between the formal local cohomology defined by a pair of ideals and the Matlis' dual of certain generalized local cohomology with respect to \mathfrak{a} .

For the next result we first need any considerations. Using the natural homomorphism $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$, where $(\widehat{R}, \widehat{\mathfrak{m}})$ denote the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion of $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{K})$, by Theorem 2.2.3 we may assume the existence of the complex $D_{\underline{x},J} = \text{Hom}_R(\check{C}_{\underline{x},J}, E_R(\mathbb{K}))$. Now if $x \in \mathfrak{m}$, we are interested to relate how the \mathfrak{a} -formal local cohomology and (\mathfrak{a}, x) -formal local cohomology, both defined by a pair of ideals, are connected. The long exact sequence below show this relation.

THEOREM 6.6.9. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) denote a local ring, $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ and $\underline{y} = y_1, \dots, y_n$ system of elements of R such that $\mathfrak{m} = (\underline{x})$, $\mathfrak{a} = (\underline{y})$ and J ideal of R . If M a finitely generated R -module and $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ element of R , there is the long exact sequence*

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R_{x,J}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{(\mathfrak{a},x),\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M) \rightarrow \dots$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. By comment above, let the complex $D_{\underline{x},J}$ and $\check{C}_{x,J}$ the Čech complex for an element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. So there is the short exact sequence of flat R -modules.

$$0 \rightarrow R_{x,J}[-1] \rightarrow \check{C}_{x,J} \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\widetilde{H} = \text{Hom}_R(M, D_{\underline{x},J})$. Tensoring the exact sequence above with $\check{C}_{\underline{y},J} \otimes \widetilde{H}$, it induces the following exact sequence of R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \check{C}_{\underline{y},J} \otimes \widetilde{H} \otimes R_{x,J}[-1] \rightarrow \check{C}_{\underline{y},x,J} \otimes \widetilde{H} \rightarrow \check{C}_{\underline{y},J} \otimes \widetilde{H} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, seeing the long exact cohomology sequence together with Theorem 2.4 in [18] we obtain, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{(\mathfrak{a},xR),J}^i(\widetilde{H}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a},J}^i(\widetilde{H}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a},J}^i(\widetilde{H}) \otimes R_{x,J} \rightarrow \dots$$

By applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, E_R(\mathbb{K}))$ and the Theorem 6.6.7 we obtain the result. \blacksquare

The natural consequence and application of this Theorem follow taking $\mathfrak{a} = 0$. This result relates the formal local cohomology with respect to an ideal generated by a single element and local cohomology, both defined by a pair of ideals.

COROLLARY 6.6.10. *With the same hypothesis of Theorem above, there is a short exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R_{x,J}, H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M)) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{xR,\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M) \rightarrow \cdots$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

7. FORMAL GRADE WITH RESPECT TO A PAIR OF IDEALS

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a local ring, I, J, \mathfrak{a} ideals as above and M denote a finitely generated R -module. The concept of formal grade was introduced by Peskine and Szpiro in [14] and not so much is known about this tool. In our approach, since in some cases $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},I,J}^i(M) \cong \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a},I,J}^i(M)$, we need to give two definitions for formal grade, different for the approach given by Schenzel in [15].

DEFINITION 7.7.1. *For an ideal \mathfrak{a} of R define by*

$$\text{fgrade}(\mathfrak{a}, I, J, M) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$$

and

$$\check{\text{fgrade}}(\mathfrak{a}, I, J, M) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \check{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{a},I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}.$$

THEOREM 7.7.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{K})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay complete local ring of dimension d and let $J \neq 0$ be a perfect ideal of R of grade t , i.e., $\text{pd}_R(R/J) = \text{grade}(J, R) = t$. Then, for M be a finitely generated R -module,*

$$\text{fgrade}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J, M) + \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, S) + \text{grade}(J, R) = \dim R,$$

where $S = H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^{d-t}(R)^\vee$.

Proof. By Theorem 6.6.4 $H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t-i}(M, S), E_R)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M) &= \varprojlim H_{\mathfrak{m},J}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \\ &\cong \varprojlim \text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t-i}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M, S), E_R(\mathbb{K})) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(\varinjlim \text{Ext}_R^{d-t-i}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M, S), E_R(\mathbb{K})) \end{aligned}$$

and since $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, S) = \varinjlim \text{Ext}_R^{d-t-i}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M, S)$ (see [9]), for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are isomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-t-i}(M, S), E_R(\mathbb{K})).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}, J}^i(M) \neq 0\} &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-t-i}(M, S) \neq 0\} \\ &= \inf\{d-t-j : H_{\mathfrak{a}}^j(M, S) \neq 0\} \\ &= d-t-\sup\{j : H_{\mathfrak{a}}^j(M, S) \neq 0\} \\ &= \dim R - \text{grade}(J, R) - \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, S). \end{aligned}$$

■

REFERENCES

1. M. Aghapournahr, KH. Ahmadi-Amoli and M.Y. Sadegui, *The concepts of depth of a pair of ideals (I, J) on modules and (I, J) -Cohen-Macaulay modules*, arXiv:1301.1015, (2013).
2. N.Bourbaki, *Algèbre commutative*, Hermann, Paris, 1961-1965.
3. M.P Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology- an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press,(1998).
4. L. Chu, and Q. Wang, , *Some results on local cohomology modules defined by a pair of ideals* J.Math. Kyoto Univ, **49-1** (2009), 193-200.
5. K. Divaani- Aazr, R. Naghipour, M. Touse , *Cohomological dimension of certain algebraic varieties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **130** (2002), 3537-3544.
6. K. Divaani- Aazr and P. Schenzel , *Ideal Topology, local cohomology and connectedness*, Math. Proc. Cambridge philos. Soc., **131** (2001), 211-226.
7. M. Eghbali, *On Formal local cohomology, colocalization and endomorphism ring of top local cohomology modules*, (2011) Thesis, Universitat Halle-Wittenberg.
8. A. Grothendieck, *Local Cohomology*, Notes by R. Hartshorne, Lecture Notes in Math., vol 20, Springer, 1966.
9. J. Herzog, *Komplexe* , Aufsunen und Dualitt in der lokalen Algebra, Habilitationsschrift, Universitt Regensburg (1970).
10. C. Huneke, *Problems on local cohomology*, Free resolutions in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, Res. Notes Math., **2** (1992), 93-108.
11. M. Mafi, *Some results on the local cohomology modules*, Arch Math (Basel), **87** (2006), 211-216.
12. M. Mafi, *Results on formal local cohomology modules*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc, (2) **36** (2013),n 1, 173-177.
13. T.T Nam and N. M. Tri, *Some properties of generalized local cohomology modules with respect to a pair of ideals*,(2013), arXiv:1011.4141 .
14. C. Peskine and L. Szpiro , *Dimension projective finie et cohomologie locale*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S, **42** (1972), 47-119.
15. P. Schenzel, *On formal local cohomology and connectedness*. J.Algebra. **315** (2007) 897-923.
16. P. Schenzel, *On the use of local cohomology in Algebra and Geometry*, Notes.
17. P. Schenzel, *Proregular sequences, local cohomology, and completion*. Math Scand.92 (2003), 161-180.
18. T. Takahashi, Y. Yoshino, and T. Yoshizawa, *Local cohomology based on a nonclosed support defined by a pair of ideals*.J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **213**,(2009), 582-600.
19. C.A. Weibel , *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge University Press, 1994