

## Solvability near the characteristic set for a special class of complex vector fields

Paulo L. Dattori da Silva\*

*Departamento de Matemática, Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 668, 13560-970 São Carlos SP, Brazil*  
E-mail: dattori@icmc.usp.br

Evandro R. da Silva

*Departamento de Matemática, Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 668, 13560-970 São Carlos SP, Brazil*  
E-mail: evandro@icmc.usp.br

This article deals with the solvability near the characteristic set  $\Sigma = \{0\} \times S^1$  of operators of the form  $L = \partial/\partial t + (x^n a(x) + ix^m b(x))\partial/\partial x$ ,  $b \not\equiv 0$  and  $a(0) \neq 0$ , defined on  $\Omega_\epsilon = (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times S^1$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are real-valued smooth functions in  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  and  $m \geq 2n$ . It is shown that given  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , satisfying a finite number of compatibility conditions, there is  $u \in C^0$  solution of the equation  $Lu = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ ; moreover, the  $C^0$  regularity is sharp.  
May, 2011 ICMC-USP

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Omega_\epsilon = (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times S^1$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , and let

$$L = \partial/\partial t + (a(x) + ib(x))\partial/\partial x, \quad b \not\equiv 0, \quad (1)$$

be a complex vector field defined on  $\Omega_\epsilon = (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times S^1$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are real-valued smooth functions in  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ .

The characteristic set of  $L$  is the set of points  $(x, t) \in \Omega_\epsilon$  where  $L$  fails to be elliptic, that is, the set

$$\Sigma = \{(x, t) \in \Omega_\epsilon; L_{(x,t)} \text{ and } \bar{L}_{(x,t)} \text{ are linearly dependent}\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $(x, t) \in \Sigma$  if and only if  $b(x) = 0$ , that is,

$$\Sigma = \{(x, t) \in \Omega_\epsilon; b(x) = 0\}.$$

\* The first author was partially supported by CNPq and FAPESP.

In this article we will assume that  $\Sigma = \{0\} \times S^1$ ; hence,  $L$  is elliptic on  $\{x \neq 0\}$  and the well-known *Nirenberg-Treves* condition ( $\mathcal{P}$ ) is satisfied.

Let us recall the definition of condition ( $\mathcal{P}$ ): we say that  $L$  given by (1) satisfies ( $\mathcal{P}$ ) if the function  $b(x)$  does not change sign on any integral curve of the real vector field  $\partial/\partial t + a(x)\partial/\partial x$  (see [13], theorem 3.7).

We are interested in solving the equation

$$Lu = f$$

near the characteristic set  $\Sigma$ , where  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , in sense of Hörmander (see [12]).

We say that  $L$  is solvable at  $\Sigma$  if given  $f$  belonging to a subspace of finite codimension of  $C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$  there exists  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_\epsilon)$  solving the equation  $Lu = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .

If  $a(0) \neq 0$  then it follows from Hörmander's results (see [12]) that  $L$  is solvable at  $\Sigma$ ; moreover, solutions can be obtained in  $C^\infty$  class.

A slight modification of the arguments in [6] shows that if the function  $a + ib$  is flat at  $x = 0$  then  $L$  is not solvable at  $\Sigma$ .

Hence, we can assume that we have  $a(x) + ib(x) = x^n a_0(x) + ix^m b_0(x)$ , where  $(a_0 + ib_0)(0) \neq 0$ ; moreover, if the function  $a$  (resp.  $b$ ) is not flat at  $x = 0$  then  $n$  (resp.  $m$ ) is the order of vanishing of  $a$  (resp.  $b$ ) at  $x = 0$ ; if the function  $a$  (resp.  $b$ ) is flat at  $x = 0$  then  $n \geq m$  (resp.  $m \geq n$ ). In this article we are interested in the case  $m \geq 2$ .

Let  $r = \min\{m, n\}$ . It is easy to see that if  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$  is such that there is  $u \in C^\ell$ ,  $\ell \geq r$ , solution of  $Lu = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$  then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x^j}(0, t) dt = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, r-1. \quad (2)$$

Now, fixe  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . It follows from [3], [10] and [11] that given  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , satisfying (2), the equation  $Lu = f$  has a  $C^k$  solution if and only if  $2 \leq m \leq 2n-1$ ; moreover, if  $m > 2n-1$  then there exists  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , flat along  $\Sigma$ , for which there is no  $u \in C^1$  solution of the equation  $Lu = f$  in any neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ . Thus, the solvability at  $\Sigma$  when  $m \geq 2n$  is still an open problem.

Hence, assuming  $a_0(0) \neq 0$  and  $m \geq 2n$ , a natural question appears: given  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , satisfying (2), is there  $C^0$  solution of the equation  $Lu = f$  in some neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ ?

Note that when  $u \in C^0 \setminus C^1$  the equation  $Lu = f$  is to be understood in the distribution sense.

This article concerns with the question above. We will show that for all  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , satisfying the compatibility conditions (2), there exist  $u \in C^0$  solution of  $Lu = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ ; moreover, we will show that this result is sharp, that is, for each  $0 < \alpha < 1$  there exists  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , flat along  $\Sigma$ , for which there is no  $u \in C^\alpha$  solution of the equation  $Lu = f$  in any neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .

The question addressed here is related to those in [1], [2], [3], [4], [8], [9], [10], [11], and others.

2. RESULTS

Let

$$L = \partial/\partial t + (a(x) + ib(x))\partial/\partial x, \quad b \neq 0, \tag{3}$$

be a complex vector field defined on  $\Omega_\epsilon$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are  $C^\infty$  real-valued functions. Assume that we can write  $(a + ib)(x) = x^n a_0(x) + ix^m b_0(x)$ , with  $n \geq 1$ ,  $m \geq 2n$  and  $a_0(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . Assume that  $\Sigma = \{0\} \times S^1$  is the characteristic set of  $L$ .

THEOREM 2.1. *Let  $L$  be given by (3). Given  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , satisfying*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x^j}(0, t) dt = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n - 1, \tag{4}$$

there exists  $u \in C^0$  solution of the equation  $Lu = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .

**Proof:** As done in [3] (see also [6]), given  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , satisfying (4), there is  $v \in C^\infty$  such that  $Lv - f$  is flat along  $\Sigma$ . Hence, in order to find a  $C^0$  solution to  $Lu = f$  at  $\Sigma$  it is enough to consider  $f$  flat.

Let  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$  be flat along  $\Sigma$ . Now, using partial Fourier series we can write  $u(x, t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{u}_k(x) e^{ikt}$  and  $f(x, t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}_k(x) e^{ikt}$ . We will use the fact that one has  $Lu(x, t) = f(x, t)$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$  if and only if the sequence  $(\hat{u}_k)$  satisfies  $L_k \hat{u}_k(x) = \hat{f}_k(x)$  in a neighborhood of  $x = 0$ ,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $L_k \hat{u}_k(x) = ik\hat{u}_k(x) + (a + ib)(x)(\hat{u}_k)'(x)$ ; furthermore, the series  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{u}_k(x) e^{ikt}$  converges in the  $C^0$  topology in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .

For  $k = 0$  we have to solve  $(a + ib) \frac{d}{dx} \hat{u}_0(x) = \hat{f}_0(x)$ . Since  $\hat{f}_0$  is flat at  $x = 0$  we have  $\frac{\hat{f}_0}{a + ib} \in C^\infty$ , hence

$$\hat{u}_0(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\hat{f}_0}{a + ib}(x) dx$$

is a solution in a neighborhood of  $x = 0$ .

For  $k \neq 0$  we will first solve  $L_k \hat{u}_k(x) = \hat{f}_k(x)$  for  $x > 0$ . There is no loss of generality in assuming that  $b \geq 0$  for such values of  $x$ . We find for  $x > 0$  and for each  $k > 0$ ,

$$\hat{u}_k(x) = \int_0^x e^{-ik(C(x)-C(y))} \frac{\hat{f}_k}{a + ib}(y) dy, \quad \text{where } C(x) = - \int_x^\eta \frac{1}{a + ib}(y) dy.$$

Hence,

$$|\hat{u}_k(x)| \leq \int_0^x e^{-k \int_y^x \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2}(s) ds} \left| \frac{\hat{f}_k}{a + ib} \right|(y) dy \leq \int_0^x \left| \frac{\hat{f}_k}{a + ib} \right|(y) dy.$$

Now for  $x > 0$  and for each  $k < 0$  we find

$$\hat{u}_k(x) = - \int_x^\eta e^{-ik(C(x)-C(y))} \frac{\hat{f}_k}{a + ib}(y) dy + M,$$

where

$$C(x) = - \int_x^\eta \frac{1}{a + ib}(y)dy$$

and

$$M = \int_0^\eta e^{-ik(C(0)-C(y))} \frac{\hat{f}_k}{a + ib}(y)dy.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{u}_k(x)| &\leq \int_x^\eta e^{k \int_x^y \frac{b}{a^2+b^2}(s)ds} \left| \frac{\hat{f}_k}{a + ib} \right|(y)dy + \int_0^\eta e^{k \int_0^y \frac{b}{a^2+b^2}(s)ds} \left| \frac{\hat{f}_k}{a + ib} \right|(y)dy \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^\eta \left| \frac{\hat{f}_k}{a + ib} \right|(y)dy. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in both cases ( $k > 0$  and  $k < 0$ )  $\hat{u}_k(0) = 0$ .

In an analogous way, for each  $k \neq 0$ , we can solve the equation  $L_k \hat{u}_k = \hat{f}_k$  for  $x < 0$  and the solution satisfies  $\hat{u}_k(0) = 0$ . Hence, for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we obtain a  $C^0$  solution to  $L_k \hat{u}_k = \hat{f}_k$  in a neighborhood of  $x = 0$ .

Since  $\frac{f}{a + ib} \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , we have that there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left| \frac{\hat{f}_k}{a + ib}(x) \right| \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |k|)^2}, \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and for all } x \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon);$$

hence, the series  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{u}_k(x)e^{ikt}$  converges uniformly. Therefore,  $u(x, t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{u}_k(x)e^{ikt}$  is a continuous solution of the equation  $Lu = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $L$  be given by (3). For each fixed  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , there exists  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , satisfying (4), for which the equation  $Lu = f$  does not have a  $C^\alpha$  solution in any neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ .*

**Proof:** Assume that for each function  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , satisfying (4), there exists  $u \in C^\alpha$  solution of the equation  $Lu = f$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$ . As done in [2] (see also [5] and [11]), an argument using Baire’s theorem and the open mapping theorem implies that there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that given  $f \in C^\infty([-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1)$ , satisfying (4), there exists  $u \in C^\alpha([-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1)$  solution of  $Lu = f$  in some neighborhood of  $[-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1$ . In order to keep this work as self-contained as possible let us repeat the arguments here.

Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \text{span} \langle 1 \otimes \delta^{(j)} \rangle, \quad j = 0, \dots, n - 1,$$

and let  $\mathcal{S}^\circ$  denote the annihilator of  $\mathcal{S}$ . For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , define

$$F_\ell = \{(f, u) \in (C^\infty([-\epsilon/2, \epsilon/2] \times S^1) \cap \mathcal{S}^\circ) \times C^\alpha(\bar{U}_\ell); Lu = f \text{ on } \mathcal{U}_\ell\},$$

where  $\mathcal{U}_\ell = (-\delta_\ell, \delta_\ell) \times S^1$  and  $\delta_\ell \downarrow 0$  with  $\delta_1 = \epsilon/2$ . Note that, for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $F_\ell$  is an Fréchet space. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\ell : F_\ell &\rightarrow C^\infty([-\epsilon/2, \epsilon/2] \times S^1) \cap \mathcal{S}^\circ \\ (f, u) &\mapsto f \end{aligned},$$

the projection on the first factor.

Hence, under our assumptions, we have that

$$\bigcup_\ell \pi_\ell(F_\ell) = C^\infty([-\epsilon/2, \epsilon/2] \times S^1) \cap \mathcal{S}^\circ.$$

Finally, from Baire's theorem and the open mapping theorem, we have that

$$\pi_{\ell_0}(F_{\ell_0}) = C^\infty([-\epsilon/2, \epsilon/2] \times S^1) \cap \mathcal{S}^\circ,$$

for some  $\ell_0$ . This means that, for  $\delta_0 = \delta_{\ell_0}$ , the operator

$$L : C^\alpha([-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1) \rightarrow C^\infty([-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1) \cap \mathcal{S}^\circ \tag{5}$$

is surjective.

Next, we will contradict (5). The argument is similar that in [3], which we will recall.

There is no loss of generality in assuming that  $b(x) > 0$  if  $-\delta_0 < x < 0$ . Define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} -e^{\frac{1}{x}}, & x < 0 \\ 0, & x \geq 0 \end{cases}.$$

For a fixed  $x_0 \in (-\delta_0, 0)$  and for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define  $(\hat{f}_k)$  by

$$\hat{f}_k(x) = g(x) \cdot (a + ib)(x) \cdot c_k \cdot e^{-ik \int_{x_0}^x \frac{a}{a^2+b^2}(y)dy},$$

where  $(c_k)$  is a rapidly decreasing sequence of positive real numbers (to be chosen later).

It follows from [3] that the sequence  $(\hat{f}_k)$  defines a function  $f \in C^\infty([-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1) \cap \mathcal{S}^\circ$ , flat along  $\Sigma$ .

We claim that for  $f$  defined above there is no  $u \in C^\alpha([-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1)$  solving  $Lu = f$  in a neighborhood of  $[-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1$ . Recall that in [3] the authors exhibit a similar function  $f$ , for which the equation  $Lu = f$  does not have  $C^\infty$  solution in any neighborhood of  $[-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0] \times S^1$ , for some  $0 < \epsilon_0 \leq \epsilon/2$ .

Let  $f$  be the function defined above. From (5) there exists a  $C^\alpha$  solution  $u$  to  $Lu = f$  in a neighborhood of  $[-\delta_0, \delta_0] \times S^1$ . By using Fourier series, the equation  $Lu = f$  can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (L_k \hat{u}_k) e^{ikt} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}_k e^{ikt},$$

where  $L_k \hat{u}_k(x) = ik\hat{u}_k(x) + (a+ib)(x)(\hat{u}_k)'(x)$ . Hence, for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $L_k \hat{u}_k(x) = \hat{f}_k(x)$  and we can write  $\hat{u}_k(x) = v_k(x) + h_k(x)$ , where  $h_k$  is an arbitrary solution of the homogeneous equation  $L_k h_k = 0$  and  $v_k$  is a solution of the nonhomogeneous equation.

Define

$$C(x) = \int_{-\delta_0}^x \frac{1}{a+ib}(y)dy.$$

It is easy to see that

$$v_k(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \geq 0 \\ -\int_x^0 e^{-ik(C(x)-C(y))} \frac{\hat{f}_k}{a+ib}(y)dy, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

is a  $C^\infty$  solution to  $L_k v_k = \hat{f}_k$  in a neighborhood of  $[-\delta_0, \delta_0]$ .

A simple calculation shows that for  $k > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(x_0) &= -\int_{x_0}^0 g(y)c_k e^{k \int_{x_0}^y \frac{b}{a^2+b^2}(s)ds} dy \\ &\geq e^{kM} M' c_k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M = \int_{x_0}^{\frac{x_0}{2}} \frac{b}{a^2+b^2}(s)ds > 0$  and  $M' = -\int_{\frac{x_0}{2}}^0 g(y)dy > 0$ .

At this point, for each  $k > 0$ , we choose  $c_k = e^{-Mk}$  to the definition of  $\hat{f}_k$ . Hence, we have that  $v_k(x_0) \geq M'$ ; consequently, the sequence  $(v_k)$  cannot be the coefficients of a  $C^\alpha$  function at  $x = x_0$ .

It is easy to see that, for  $x < 0$  and for each  $k \neq 0$ , the function

$$\begin{aligned} h_k(x) &= h_k(-\delta_0) e^{-ik \int_{-\delta_0}^x \frac{1}{a+ib}(y)dy} \\ &= h_k(-\delta_0) e^{-ik \int_{-\delta_0}^x \frac{a}{a^2+b^2}(y)dy} e^{-k \int_{-\delta_0}^x \frac{b}{a^2+b^2}(y)dy}. \end{aligned}$$

is the general solution to the homogeneous equation  $L_k h_k(x) = 0$ .

We claim that if  $h_k(-\delta_0) \neq 0$  then  $h_k$  cannot be extended to a  $C^\alpha$  function in any neighborhood of  $x = 0$ . Indeed, if  $h_k \in C^\alpha$  in some neighborhood of  $x = 0$  then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{h_k(x) - h_k(0)}{x^\alpha}$$

should exist. However,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{h_k(x) - h_k(0)}{x^\alpha} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{h_k'(x)}{\alpha x^{\alpha-1}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{-ikh_k(x)}{\alpha(a_0(x) + ix^{m-n}b_0(x))} \cdot \frac{1}{x^{n+\alpha-1}},$$

which, of course, does not exist.

Hence, for each  $k > 0$ ,  $\hat{u}_k(x) = v_k(x)$  is the only  $C^\alpha$  solution to the equation  $L_k \hat{u}_k(x) = \hat{f}_k(x)$  in a neighborhood of  $[-\delta_0, \delta_0]$ . Therefore,  $(\hat{u}_k)$  cannot be the sequence of Fourier coefficients of any  $C^\alpha$  periodic function, which contradicts (5). The proof is complete.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. A. P. Bergamasco and A. Meziani, Solvability near the characteristic set for a class of planar vector fields of infinite type, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble*, **55** 1(2005), 77–112.
2. A. P. Bergamasco, P. D. Cordaro and G. Petronilho, Global solvability for a class of complex vector fields on the two-torus. *Comm. in PDE*, **29** (2004), 785–819.
3. A. P. Bergamasco and P. L. Dattori da Silva, Global solvability for a special class of vector fields on the torus, *Contemp. Math.*, **400** (2006), 11–20.
4. A. P. Bergamasco and P. L. Dattori da Silva, Solvability in the large for a class of vector fields on the torus, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **86** (2006), 427–477.
5. A. P. Bergamasco, P. L. Dattori da Silva and M. R. Ebert, Gevrey solvability near the characteristic set for a class of planar complex vector fields of infinite type, *J. Differential Equations* **246**(4) (2009), 1673–1702 .
6. A.P. Bergamasco and G. Petronilho, *Closedness of the range for vector fields on the torus*, *J. Differential Equations* **154** (1999), 132–139.
7. S. Berhanu and A. Meziani, Global properties of a class of planar vector fields of infinite type, *Comm. PDE* **22** (1997), 99–142.
8. S. Berhanu and A. Meziani, On rotationally invariant vector fields in the plane. *Manuscripta Math.* **89**(3) (1996), 355–371.
9. W. A. Cerniauskas and A. Kirilov,  $C^k$ -Solvability Near the Characteristic Set for a Class of Vector Fields of Infinite Type, *Mat. Contemp.* **36** (2009), 91106.
10. P. L. Dattori da Silva, Nonexistence of Global Solutions for a Class of Complex Vector Fields on two-torus, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **351** (2009), 543–555.
11. P. L. Dattori da Silva,  $C^k$ -solvability near the characteristic set for a class of planar complex vector fields of infinite type, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **189** (2010), 403–413.
12. L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators IV*, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
13. L. Hörmander, Pseudo-differential operators of principal type, *Nato Advanced Study Inst. on Singularities in Boundary Value Problems*, Reidel Publ. Co., Dordrecht, 1981, 69–96.